6/21/2018 BCA BOD meeting Denver, CO (Summary of Motions on page 34)

Approved : July 31, 2018

All board members present except Ed De Pouli.

Meeting opened 8:06 am:

Alan Oldfield: < general opening remarks> Ok. Alright. We're going to start with the Pledge of Allegiance lead by Brian Clark.

Brian Clark: < Pledge of Allegiance>

Alan O: < Recognize past BOD members> < Welcome new BOD members> < BOD members introduce themselves> Thanks everybody, and we do want to recognize mayor Matt Dukes from Mid West City. Thank you for being here. Mid West City is our host for next year. And Mayor Dukes thank you for being here; we'll have time to hear from you in just a couple of minutes. And we have past Board member/past President Kevin Kinney. Welcome Kevin. Thanks Everybody. We have a busy agenda and we'll try to take a break in there..

Roberta: < indistinguishable>

Alan O: Mike and Nancy? Yeah, yeah, I'm getting there. < laughter > They're gonna help us with the agenda throughout the day. Mike and Nancy of the BCA Office. And they have < indistinguishable > thank you very much.

So, we have a busy agenda. We probably will take a break, maybe about 80 minutes or so into the morning, and we're going to get started I think with our minutes from the last month or so and I'll pass this to our secretary, John De Fiore.

John De Fiore: These are the BOD meeting minutes from June 1- june 21st:

Unresolved Business:

5/27: Terry W. writes an open letter to the Chapter Directors and others, advising that the Club has very serious financial issues. The open letter is recorded in the May 2018 BOD meeting minutes, addendum #4.

On 6/3: A response is distributed to Chapter, Division and Regional Directors. The response can be found in addendum #1 below.

New Business:

On 5/31: President Oldfield notifies the Board that Election results will be available Monday June 4th. T. Weigand questions the reason for the delay and suggests it is to manipulate the results.

6/4: President Oldfield notifies the BOD of receipt of election results: E mail from accounting firm follows:

Here are the results:

John Steed 674 Jack Welch 647 Larry Schramm 638 Sidney Meyer 613

Brett Gordon, CPA

Perks Pusateri & Company, CPAs

137-B Commerce Park Drive

Westerville, OH 43082

Alan O. adds: Congratulations to our new Board members! My thanks to all the candidates for being willing to serve the members of the BCA.

On 6/6: R. Vasilow motions: To approve the May 2018 BOD meeting minutes. Also to correct a typo on motion # 35. No other comments are received or recorded. Voting is opened 6/8. Motion passed. 8Y-1 NVC.

6/11: Teleconference with BOD elect new members. New BOD members introduced themselves and why they wanted to join the BOD. Also discussed the agenda for the upcoming membership meeting and BOD meeting at Denver. Transcript will be reported separately.

6/15: T. Weigand motions: To approve the June 11th conference call minutes: No comments or discussion received. Voting opened 6/15, Motion passed 6 Y , 2 Abstentions.

6/21: Membership Meeting @ Denver CO. Results will be reported separately

Addendum #1

June 1, 2018

Greetings members:

Recently BOD member Terry Weigand sent an e mail to all the Chapter Directors regarding the financial status of the club. He expressed several concerns about Club financial operations, and alleged impropriety of the Board members. These claims culminate in assertions that the Club is not operating within the law regarding our IRS status as a Social/Fraternal organization. He further advised that he has contacted the Internal Revenue Service and demanded they do a "top to bottom" audit of our club. The undersigned members of the Board of Directors feel it is important to advise you of the status of this situation.

We do not know when, or if, the IRS will pursue Mr. Weigand's demand. And we are doing what we can to prepare for this eventuality. To the best of our knowledge, the Club has filed the appropriate tax returns, paid the proper tax on time, and done everything in full accordance with the law. The BCA will cooperate fully if there is an IRS inquiry and/or audit, and view this as an opportunity to make sure we are doing things correctly.

The BCA employs the CPA firm of Pusateri and Co. of Westerville, OH to oversee our financial reports, compute and file our tax returns, and consult on other financial matters. I have personally spoken to our CPA Brett Gordon in recent days to discuss his view on this activity. Brett indicated that the BCA is reporting and filing financial reports appropriately and timely. He expressed no concerns regarding a possible IRS audit. Nor did he express any concern of a threat to the BCA's tax exempt status.

Mr. Weigand's e-mail noted other issues of which you should be aware. He asserted, correctly, that there has not been a financial report printed in the Bugle for three years. And he complained about the amount of reserve funds the BCA has amassed over the last 18 years.

We acknowledge the failure to publish a financial report. However, there were circumstances outside of our control that caused this. In June 2016 the club's long time accountant, Mr. Joel Gauthier passed away unexpectedly. This coincided with the end of our fiscal year. This resulted in efforts to find and hire a new firm, and subsequent efforts to file an extension, consolidate accounting information, then file the returns, and then coordinate reporting and bookkeeping practices. This took time to complete and our CFO has indicated we are on schedule to publish financial information following closing of this fiscal year.

Regarding our reserve funds, it was alleged that we had \$700,000 in reserves. While the Club does have approximately that amount in total, the reserve portion is less, at approximately \$600,000. Most of the reserve is invested in CD's and bonds. This amounts to roughly \$100.00 per member. The reserves are the direct result of a time when this club was unable to cover a substantial loss. In 1999, a loss was incurred for the National Meet, and former President Jeff Brashares generously covered the loss personally, for which we are very grateful. In 2009, the BOD voted to increase dues in part to help build a reserve fund. The current reserve is roughly one to two years of operating expenses for the club. Recently the current BOD voted to declare some of the reserve to be excess funds, available for dispersal in methods that benefit the members.

We will strive to keep you abreast of the status of this situation and if needed, questions can be addressed to the secretary of the board, or sent to the Buick Club office.

Alan Oldfield: President Brian Clark: Vice President John De Fiore: Secretary

Rick Schick Robert Safrit Ed De Pouli

Alan O: Thank you John . So, Looking for a motion to approve the minutes as read by Secretary De Fiore, and Brian (Clark) has moved.

Rick Shick: I will second: (Assigned motion # 2017-2018-44)

Alan O: Moved by Brian,, seconded by Rick. Is there discussion about the minutes? No discussion we'll call for a vote. Are there any abstentions? <none recorded> Are there any no votes? < none recorded> All in favor say aye.

<unanimous> Motion Passes.

Terry Weigand: I vote yes.

John D: I'm sorry. I'm sorry I didn't hear what you said. You voted yes?

Terry W: < nods affirmative response>

John D: Thank you.

Alan O: At this point shall we move to our committee reports. Allright, Top of the committee reports are financials, Bill Stoneberg.

Bill Stoneberg: So, as you saw on the <indistinguishable>if you were at the meeting last night, I don't have a projector here, all my papers are on the computer but we're, you know, basically in the black for this year. We're hitting our budget numbers; I expect probably to make 5 to \$8,000 this year, at the end of the year. We've got a couple of large bills coming in this month that have not been reflected in the Budget yet. We have to pay our insurance plus we have to pay for the board meeting. So that will take our, you know, that will take our money that we have at the moment down to the \$5,000-\$8,000 reported. Thank you.

Alan O: Thank you Bill. Our next item is noted here as the \$100K committee, that's a discussion of our reserves and a motion was made recently to separate \$100,000 and consider that excess. I'm going to hold that for later. We got a lot of input last night and I think there's <indistinguishable>so I'm going to move that down to the bottom of the agenda. So, next item would be a report from our National Meet Chief Judge, John Steed.

John Steed: Can you hear me from here? Okay. I'd like to < indistinguishable>get behind us this last year where certain members about parking at the National Meets. As some of you know I took this job with the Buick Club, we discussed with the board at that time that parking needed to be under the National Chief Judge, and at that time there was no objection from the board. And the reason for that is because of the need to have one person to be able to make decisions on the day of the show. And so, that's kinda where I'd like to stop. There's no minutes from that meeting cause that meeting was done in Springfield, and there was a problem, they didn't get done. But like I said the main reasoning is that somebody has to make that decision. You can't call the board together for things like that. So anyway, that's why I was involved in that situation.

Knowing that there's been an undercurrent ever since Southbend about parking and whatever, in In the interest of harmony this last year I talked to my assistant Bob Safrit and this meet Head Judge, Jack Welch, and we met with people of that group. And we talked about how we can do things. Work it out. And we thought it worked out pretty good. We agreed we would do all-together parking on the pre-war cars. That'd be sections A,B,C, and D. Those 4 classes. And the idea of all-together parking means. There two issues here. But the idea of all-together parking means basically we're going to put all the archival cars and display cars, all those cars together. Does not include modified, and from what I've heard and < indistinguishable>, modified people don't want to be there either, although there have been some people who think they ought to be there. So anyway we left that meeting, that was in October, we left that meeting and felt like we accomplished something.

We did not know about something that's come up since then. On November 1st we got an email from Mark Shaw , who is, uh, well, anyway, he's with the pre-war group, and he was demanding that we park the cars chronologically. And he referred to SOP Procedure #5, Section 4, 4.1.1. There may be a class or classes for a Division's cars on the show field. Notice that says there may be, okay. And in section 4.1.2 The Division will develop a method by which the Division cars are judged and which awards are presented to the cars in that Division. This section was written I believe when we brought the modified cars in and started to judge modified cars. But it <indistinguishable>calls to a point, and it doesn't say, you know, it doesn't say you can demand we do this, this, and that without who's gonna do it. What it says is, and I offered this to Mr. Shaw, If you want to have your own classes, you want to put them in your own field, if you want to buy your own trophies and do your own judging, have at it, we'll give you a place to do it. He did not want to do that. I, you know I have a problem with this SOP. Not for what it provides but that there's more than one pre war division. We've got more than one group that represents those groups of cars. If everyone in one group demands one thing and another group demands this, whatever, it puts the; well it just makes more conflict. We've got plenty of conflict right now anyway.

So finally after a long stretch of emails back and forth with Mr. Shaw we agreed that if he or the Pre-War group would help do the chronological parking we'd try it to see if it'd work. And you'll find, Saturday, hopefully, that most of the cars are parked in chronological order, best they can. But it's not, my push on this was that this is not something that

somebody comes up and says, hey, you do the work. I want those people to realize, it does take some extra work and they need to have some skin in the game. So that's what, what all the <indistinguishable>was on that. It took an awful lot of time on my part and a lot of time on some other people's part too. That's what I spent most of my time on this year. Like I said, we'll do, or try to do chronological parking with pre war groups and really the local team here has decided how to make that work, and that's fine. Chronological parking is not a problem for judging. Chronological problems are getting workers to make it happen. And so that's part of the issues.

There's other things that need to be done. A new judging manual appendices need to be done. It's never been updated since 1980 I believe. If we want to have appendices on cars, tire and wheel and that we have to pay to get it updated. I've talked to different people. I talked to the BHA President, David Landow, talked to Chris< indistinguishable>at the AACA library, and talked to Mac Blair, and talked to Arnold Letchberg, Rick Schick; so far I have not found a low cost option to getting this information updated. You know the AACA library will do that work for us but their charge us \$30.00 and hour, and I think the Board needs to decide, is that something you want to do? Do you want to spend money to make it happen, or <indistinguishable>more documentation? That's a Board decision. I can't make that decision. I would make the decision from the standpoint of; if I want to get it done I'd spend the money. But so far I've not been too successful at it.

Another issue for judging. Mr. Alan Oldfield, who has been a National Chief Judge and does the judges training for us, plans to stepdown from judges training after this meet. Which means that we need to find somebody that will do that judges training. Alan's done an excellent job, he's been able to keep the meetings fairly short and crisp, and that's important to me cause. I think one of the things we need to do for judging is to keep the amount of time and effort it takes to be a judge to a minimum so that people be willing to judge. It you tell em you going to have to work 8 hours out there judging cars, we aren't going to get anybody. So he can keep it to a minimal amount of time and still accomplish what we need to accomplish which is, try to determine which cars get different awards. But that's something I'd like for the Board to eventually decide, who's the best person to do that.

I've got some other positions I'm gonna have to fill. Kris Syrdal implied she probably will not be able to do the administration, and the administration, at least next year, the administration is a very key <indistinguishable>section. That's where you bring all the papers, and that's important to do as they calculate it and figure out all the awards and things. It takes a lot of work and it takes somebody with experience. I've been very lucky while I've been chief judge to just kinda ignore that part of it, and Kris would do it and so I appreciate all her hard work she's done for me. And I'll be happy to answer any questions you have about judging if you have any.

Alan O: John, I have a question. Could we have an estimate for the cost of the appendices?

John S: My guess is, I don't happen to have an estimate for this till they do it, but my sense is it might cost \$1,000 to \$1,500. I think part of what I'd do is put a match on it and say hey, stop at this point and bring it back to us if it'll cost more. I'm still working on talking to Dave Landow to see if BHA will kinda work on this. And then I talked to Chris Ritter and Dave Landow talked to Chris Ritter because BHA has all the information on this for the AACA library. So what I think it's really gonna take is somebody willing to say we're gonna spend some money. But we need to put a fairly narrow pin ball it. There is another possibility. Mac Blair actually did a lot of this work early on, and I talked to Mac. And Mac; we might be able to talk him into it if we make some kind of push or make some kind of offer, or action, and say: Mac can you go ahead and work on this for us? Cause I think he has most of the information. So that's worth working out cause its kinda hard to push it very hard if we're not gonna have any skin in it.

Rick S: John this is something; a conversation you and I had. Maybe before we go looking at spending any money on this, we have resources within the Club. We have technical Advisors. And I would suggest we reach out to the technical advisors on years we are missing; from 83 or 84 forward, and based on what we're talking about; getting basic information most of the owners of those car have already. Which is paint colors, tires size, wheel size, engine color, things like that, that's documented and what we really need is one central repository, and that could be you. Where I know you reached out to people, and I don't mean to single you, <indistinguishable>but maybe reach out to those people and have them send information to you to and maybe save a few bucks and that might be the best way to do it. < indistinguishable>More precise information from people than we expect.

John S: I think one of the things that Mac pointed out to me was that, it wasn't a matter he didn't happen to have documentation, but the reason for the stopping in 1980 was it was too hard to figure out how to present that documentation on a piece of paper, so it would look, you know, cause you got different paint colors for different models as we added more models and stuff like that . And so that's kinda one of the things that stopped us then. <cross talk>

Rick S: Well I tend to disagree. The thing that stopped us in 1980 was the fact that the handbook and the judging system came out in 1989 and they were looking at the 11 year rule for judging purposes. And it was thought to stop at '80 would be sufficient. And the expectation was that it'd be updated annually as years were added on to the eleven years, and move forward. And that's what never occurred. So it needs to happen

John S: And it needs to happen. That's one of the reasons I put it in my note book for next year, so we wouldn't have to do all the leg work. I didn't get done this year from last year and I know there's errors in the 2017 cause I was updating it from 2005 and trying to bring it up to what we are actually doing compared to what we say we're doing.

Rick S: And I commend you on your efforts to get this updated cause quite frankly if we don't update this now while the people who are around have that knowledge it's going to be lost forever, so thank you for taking it on.

John S: Well, I think you, and Mac, and all that worked it first time; all I did was rework the first part about judging. I think there is one other little thing and that is, my 5 years will be up during Oklahoma also. And I think we need to follow our By-Laws and SOP's as close as possible, and at the end of 5 years you need to look for somebody to replace me. Just like with Alan, we're lucky we have people within to pass that baton, but sometimes having somebody new come in gives a little different view on things. You know there's things that I changed that previous head judges weren't too happy about, and what they said was valid, but I was worried about getting the workforce and the people to do it and there are a few things that I did that the next guy is gonna change. And that's the way life is. And let's hope that change is for the better. Anymore questions? <none recorded>

Alan O: Okay, Thank you John, Actually, stay there cause we're going to ask you to, in your position as Chairman of the National Meet Committee, to talk about the 2019, 2020, 2021 and I know for 2019 our friends from Mid West City are here this morning. Thank you, and we're glad to have you here and Mayor Dukes is here as well so we're going to divide that out between you and Mayor Dukes. So if you would take us through the National Meet committee and the reports for the next three national meets?

John S: Okay, I can do that. The National Meet Committee < indistinguishable>. We try to pattern ourselves to be open. If somebody wants to know what we're doing, and you know we're doing a lot of work as far as determining where the next National meets are going to be, and trying to find somebody willing to host a National Meet, that's the group you want to go to at least first, to see what we're working on.

We're really excited about what Denver has done for this National Meet. This meet is a group of people that, most of them have never been to a national meet previously, so they're a group of people who have never really been to a lot of national meets and they've been good for the National Meet Committee because they had to ask us a lot of questions. And it might have been good for us also to learn we don't know a whole lot.

And running a national meet is also something the National Meet committee needs to get more involved in and learn about, so I am pleased with the way Denver has run this meet from the standpoint that they got the whole chapter involved. They had a six member group that's been the steering committee, but they managed to take that, and work in to where their whole chapter has grown some and they are all involved in it. I've been involved in meets where one person ran it and they bring in some of the other people at the last minute and, it's kinda of; hey I need you to do this and I need you to do that. But they weren't involved in the planning. So this meet for me stands no need of improvement. We did do the meet out here, in which I consider to be out west, although in parts of California it's pretty far East. We knew that it would not be a large meet as far as cars or whatever, but for the most part, problems < indistinguishable>. They tackled their planning and there have been problems but they worked em out, and I'm been real pleased with what they've done.

We've been meeting monthly, by conference calls and from the conference calls you've been getting minutes of all those meetings each time we have them. And those meetings provide you kinda an open idea what we're doing. So that's something that I think we'll continue to do. Right now we have meets scheduled for next year in Mid West City, Oklahoma, which Pete (Philips) is the chairman. And it's been exciting watching Pete. He wanted to have Oklahoma. Our committee's big decision is where are we going to have the national meets where we got chapters to sponsor them, and where we're going to have 400 point judging. But Oklahoma City's not part of a chapter. Pete's got a chapter in Texas that's working with this to sponsor it, and it's exciting to see his pictures of Oklahoma City and things of Mid West City and that area. And what we've seen up there, and he thinks<indistinguishable>Pete's really; Pete's doing an exciting job and it's been exciting from the National Meet Committee perspective to see him working it out.

2020 will be in Strongsville Ohio, and Heather is not here at this meet, she's supposed to be next year at Mid West City. And then 2021, do you want to bring that up now, Alan, or what?

Alan O: We're here!

John S: Okay, 2021. Last night, or Wednesday night, we decided we would bring it to the Board and ask you to approve Charlotte, North Carolina, with Brian De Pouli running the show. Brian will do that meet pretty similar to what he tried to do, and similar to what he did, in 2012. He's been working on that.

I want to point out for national meets we used to have five or six groups, or maybe 3 or four at least, and they'd come before the board and the board would pick and choose. Right now when I go after a meet, and we can use Strongsville, Ohio. I think I talked to four different groups that were interested in that, and by the time it came down to making a decision, everybody dropped out. And that's, well it's<indistinguishable>really no use. So you go through this, you try to call people and ask if they are interested in hosting a national meet. < indistinguishable>. You don't have people calling and saying Hey I want to host a national meet, so you call them and <indistinguishable>I called Atlanta several times and I like the meets in Atlanta, and they got a good organization down there but the answer came back. No, they weren't interested in a hosting a national meet. So part of the National Meet Committees main job is trying to find someone willing to host a national meet, and that has the facilities and the people there. But anyway I would like today sometime if you would, if you would approve Charlotte, North Carolina for the 2021 meet.

Alan O: Lets hear from Mayor Dukes

John S: I have a little more but turn it over to him.

Alan O: Yeah, let's hear from Mayor Dukes of Mid West City. Mayor Dukes

Mayor Dukes: Thank you, let me hand this out to the Board members.

Alan O: You know what, last night when we introduced the contingent, Ron, would you mind telling us your roles? Cause it is quite delightful that you all made the trek here and we would appreciate knowing that so? <cross talk>

Ron Sullivan: I'm Ron Sullivan, and I am the public works director for Mid West City and we put together a big team of folks to make sure you guys have a great time in Mid West City, so we'll have everything from the Parks and Recreation Department all the way down to the, or I should say all the way up to, our Visitors and Convention Bureau, Miss Susan Florio. Susan? She's the director of our Visitor's Bureau all the rest of this year and she'll be on hand to throughout the event next year to help you out with any logistical needs you might have, with the City parking lots and busses and all that . And then Mia is out over in the hallway. She is actually with the Sheraton Group Center and she'll be out here to help you with the reservations < indistinguishable>. I'll turn it over to Mayor Dukes if that's okay?

Mayor Dukes: Thank you and good morning Alan. Before you turn an open mic over to a politician you need to put a time limit on it. laughter Good morning < indistinguishable. I'm Matt Dukes, mayor of Mid West City and I'm there; this is my third year. Before that I was a retired Mid West City Police officer after 31 years, and I lost my mind and got myself elected Mayor. But we're extremely excited to bring this group into our town. Mid West City is a 75 year town. We were established to support community for Tinker Airforce base, which is the largest employer in the state of Oklahoma, with a 1.4 billion dollar impact on our economy. People; there's 13,000 military, extension of them are 23,000 state employees. State employees come from Fort Worth< indistinguishable>. So it's an active corridor and we're very proud to be part of it. We're a military town and we're proud of our heritage and we're proud of where we come from, and we're very proud to have you come to our town. I think you're going to find Mid West City very hospitable and just a nice place to be. We've got a lot of exciting things going on before you get there. We got a brand new movie theater that'll be opening up in May, along with a 5,000 square foot entertainment facility that has everything from bowling to rock climbing walls. And we got a lot of shopping unique to the mid west of the country opening as we speak. <indistinguishable> I left my card with your Board officers and there will be cards at our table. Feel free to contact me at any time, during your stay, or before your stay. If you have any problems our team is 100% go on getting you guys there and making you guys as comfortable as possible. And again, Alan and board members, thank you for having me, and having us here. I distributed a short documentary on our 75th year anniversary and a cap on our history. And <indistinguishable>if you get a chance take a look at it. It gives a good overview of what we're all about and where we're at. Again, I want to extend a very Big Oklahoma Welcome to you and I look forward to having you in my town next year. So are there any questions for me? Again, thank you for having us here and we look forward to having you next year. <applause>

Alan O: Pete?

Pete Phillips: We have the meet registration form. It's right here <holding a copy>. I got a handful of them. I'll pass out a copy to the Board. <indistinguishable>. They will appear in the July Bugle. Unfortunately there's one omission on there. There will be no BHA banquet next year. We found out about that 2 days ago. We have a few different driving tours. One on Rt 66 from Edmond to Bristow, Oklahoma, just south of Tulsa Oklahoma, for a distance of 100 miles. People can go on their own or with a group of cars. I'll give you a map and a list of things to see along the way. The map

is \$5.00 because of the lists <indistinguishable>that's the reason. It's a color map and a set of brochures so people know what to stop and see. A lot of the things are fantastic, absolutely incredible! The other driving tour is about 35 miles to Guthrie, Oklahoma. It's the territorial capitol of Oklahoma. It's an unbelievable town, full of Victorian buildings. It has a huge historic district. And it has the first state capitol building which was moved two years later to Oklahoma City when < indistinguishable>, the first governor said, Okay, move it over to Oklahoma City, and that's what they did. So now the largest Masonic temple in the world is the old territorial Capitol <indistinguishable>and we have it on tour. You can actually walk from the Territorial Capitol to the down town area. We're offering three bus tours and the one I'm most excited about is the one to Bud's Salvage, which is in North West Oklahoma. It takes about 45 miles to get there and it's the finest salvage yard in the south central US in my opinion. It's absolutely incredible. And the church ladies are going to serve lunch. There will be no limit on the number of people who go there. We'll add busses, add busses, add busses. The cars are parked by make, by size, full sized Buicks are here, mid-sized Buicks are here, and the compact Buicks are over there. It's just incredible. And the prices are very reasonable and just can't wait for you to go there.

The national memorial and automobile alley bus tour is going to be run three times, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday . You'll get a limit on that to 55, and the town won't increase that so it's only going to be run three times. We'll start out at the Oklahoma City National Memorial and museum right next door, and then it's a two or three block walk from there to automobile alley, a historic district which is about 5 blocks long. It's all these antique 1910's, 1920's former automobile dealerships that are now refurbished and put to other uses. And the last one happens to be the Buick Building. And there just happens to be a restaurant in the Buick building where we're going to have lunch there. And it's a very nice place with a 1935 Buick on the floor, it's just absolutely incredible. I can't wait to show it to you. Then there's two tours to the Oklahoma Modernism festival at the First Christian Church. That's on Friday night and that kicks off . There's been a lot of coordination. I got the organization to agree to hold the Modernism Festival on the same weekend that we're in town. We were originally just gonna hold just a car show at this church but this Church has been for sale for over a year and I could not count on it still being available so that's why we moved it too.

On Saturday morning the women who are not interested in judging can take a bus tour to the modernism festival when it *really* gets kicked off. There's a lot of mid century modern antiques and furniture and cars and all around the first Christian Church there's music concerts, etcetera. And on Saturday morning for this meet, all the cars that want to participate in Buick Club judging will have to move to the Rotary Club's parking lot which is about, what? About 1 block away from the hotel site. And the reason we're doing that is because we want one and one half spaces per car and that parking lot will be available and open and plenty large <indistinguishable> and that will be open just for our administration crew to have judging in that space inside that conference building. And I think there will be some kind of shuttle service between the sites, and, but you don't have to move till Friday night or Saturday morning and then only if you want to participate in judging. In display only it doesn't matter. And on Saturday you have to park by class, but Friday and before I don't care where you park <laughter>

Alan O: Pete, It's correct that we can make hotel reservations right now?

Pete P: Yes, Yes.

Alan O: For those of you who are wondering, they are taking them right in the hallway <cross talk>

Rick S: One thing I know, I think there is one favorable change you may want to make on this. I did call the hotel and they're saying \$119 a night, not \$129. So you may want to spread the word its \$119.

Pete P: Okay, Okay.

Bill S: So have you thought about having a parade of all the cars going to the judging?

Pete P: No, no, all the coordination required with the judges breakfast at the same time, as well as people want to get their cars to the site, and bus tours at the same time, and <cross talk>< indistinguishable>

Alan O: I think you can tell that Pete' enthusiasm for this is real infectious, so <indistinguishable> <laughter>

Pete P: Any other questions? < none recorded>

Bill S: Will there be restroom facilities on the car show field?

Ron S: We will have a, we have a ten station portable restroom trailer facility like you see at golf tournaments and festivals. It will be there in the parking lot.

Roberta: Is it air-conditioned

Ron S: Air-conditioned, Yes mamm. And towels in it too! <laughter>

<cross talk>

Rick S: Any chance for food being available on the show field

Susan Florio: We have a rich food truck community in Mid West City and so they participate year round in festivals and car shows in the area so we've advised them and expect some< indistinguishable>

Alan O: Looking forward to it so thank you Pete. Mr Steed, real quick, anything else?

John S: Yes, <indistinguishable>|'II be real quick. We elect new officers every two years on the National Meet Committee. And our new Chair will be Jack Welch, Jacks right here. Our Vice Chair will be Bob Starzyk, Bob is here, and Secretary will be Roy Faries. Roy's here. And our treasurer is Bill Stoneberg, who is CFO and treasurer of the Club. We appreciate all his help in pulling these meets off. We've also added Pat Wojahn to the committee. Pat was very instrumental in solving some of the problems we had over the year, and we were impressed with her knowledge with how to work with hotels and stuff like that. And of course once you approve Charlotte, and hopefully approve Charlotte, Brian De Pouli will be on the National Meet Committee. We started working on 2022 to find people who'd be interested in that meet. So I'II be happy to answer any questions if you have any, otherwise we'II be good to go.

Alan O: Thank you John and Mayor Dukes. We really appreciate it.

Mayor Dukes: I think we're gonna move on and join the fun. - Thank you guys!

Alan O: Okay, so lets move on with our committee reports. Buick Heritage Alliance is next. Is David Landow here?

 $\label{eq:Mike Book: He's not here but I have his report .}$

Alan O: Okay, let's take the Mic.

Mike Book: Okay, on behalf of the BHA, David Landow asked me to let you know, right now they've had recent elections. David Landow is President, Bill Anderson is Vice President and also one of the founders of the BHA, Richard Sills is Secretary, and BCA past President Jeff Brashares is the treasurer and also one of the founders of the BHA. And they just asked basically to condense this. To pass along their thank you to the BCA for allowing them to assist and work with us on many of the programs we do. They are very, very, happy to continue with that. They advertise in the Bugle on a regular basis. They enjoy the input they receive from BCA members and the various contributions that are made into their 501 c3 corporation. So are there any questions I can answer regarding the BHA? < none recorded>
If not I'd be happy to lie down, thank you very much.

< The entire report from the BHA is attached as addendum 1>

Alan O: Why don't you keep the microphone because the National Office report is next.

Mike Book: Okay, just a quickie on the National Office. There are times when membership number comes about and I just want to take a minute and clarify the membership numbers from the BCA office. Right now there are two numbers floating around. 6,842. 6,842 is the actual number of members that are in the BCA. There is another number out there that is 6,993, I think it is. That includes the chapters, regions, divisions, affiliates, people that receive a magazine every month, and they fall into the BCA database and sometimes they are confused as BCA members. But the membership itself is the number of actual people whether they receive a Bugle, or an E version of the bugle, and is 6,842. It is running fairly steady right now. We've been fortunate in that situation. But otherwise we've been involved in working with the National Meet Committee, and several of the other committees, and doing things that we normally do. Are there any questions for the BCA office at this time? Jerry?

Jerry Courson: My question is what is the number of dues paying members?

Mike Book: The number of dues paying members is 6,842. That includes your lifetime members; all the members who are paying dues of any type are 6,842.

Brian C: Mike, I think he is asking for the number not including members that are not actually paying due,s such as past presidents and such.

Mike Book: There are, yes, there are some, well that falls under the not exactly complimentary, as past presidents receive life membership in the BCA. Other exec members continue to receive their program till they go out of office. So there are always a few people like the board of directors who are not paying dues right now cause they are on the board. Those are still considered members of the Club and eventually will continue paying dues when their tour is up.

Jerry Courson: I'm just looking at the financial, the financial standpoint, you multiply \$50.00 times ...

Mike Book: The problem that you got with that is you can't do that because of the number of multi-year dues that we have. There are people that two years ago took a three year membership, or last year took a two or three year membership, so you can't take the number 6,842 and automatically multiply it time 50 cause that won't work out at all. To break it down to tell you how many of these are two and three year members, we could factor in that and come up with a number that would be fairly accurate. But we'd have to take some time to do that, it seems like a waste of time.

Jerry Courson: I say , life is difficult

Mike Book: Yes! < laughter>

Larry Schramm: <indistinguishable> <cross talk>

Tim Coy: Microphone please

Larry S: Yeah, it's Larry Schramm. Is the question: <indistinguishable>how many people are, right now are, one year, two year, three year< indistinguishable>, that's the question I guess. < To Jerry Courson> Is that what kinda you want to know?

Jerry Courson: I was just looking for a way to multiple heads time dollar amount, so that's the difference between the e members and the real member, or the full members.

Mike Book: And there's also difference between foreign membership dues and different domestic membership dues. First class membership dues are different than domestic membership dues. Every time we do a renewal there is a form Nancy and I complete that Bill gets a copy of and the CPA gets a copy of. It does break down how many are one year renewals, how many are two year, how many are three year. To give you that number right now here today, I didn't come prepared to do that. I can get that. If someone really wants it contact the BCA office and we'll supply that number for you.

Jerry Courson: you do keep track of that on the financial reports, you have accrual set up for the

<indistinguishable> Mike Book: That's right.

Jerry Courson: <indistinguishable>

Mike Book: Are there any other questions for the BCA office? <none recorded> If not, thank you. And again, Nancy and

I continue to thank the club for allowing us to be part of this organization. Thank you

Alan O: Good point, if you speak please use the mic. There's one here and one there. And if you would announce yourself it helps the secretary.

<cross talk>

Alan O: Thank you. Web master Peter Gariepy is our next report. < Not present>. Did you get anything from Peter? Okay, no report there. I think I saw Barney?

Barney Eaton: Uh, I came unprepared..

Alan O: This is Barney Eaton, would you come up front please, Thank you.

Barney Eaton: It'll take me longer to walk there than to tell you my report <laughter> I came here unprepared cause I was probably going to miss this meet, the first one in many years. But I did make it to the activity. The good news is I don't believe we've lost a tech advisor this year. As you know, in our club that's always a concern . And if anyone knows of a tech advisor that is no longer with us, and we need to fill it, it's best to let me know.

We have added two new Tech Advisors this year and I continue to work with Pete. There's a lot of people who want to be tech advisors but they only want to do one year and one model and that makes it difficult for people who are looking for tech advice to call them and he says I don't do that. So our first pitch to them is; if you want to do that, I want you to do Skylarks within a certain category. You may not know all that much about them but you know other people who do, and you can refer people to someone who can do that. Pete keeps saying we can put in as many as we need but the print is gonna get smaller and smaller. The only other thing I'd point out; I've been doing this since probably '02. It's not a difficult job but if anyone wants it, let me know. < laughter>

Alan O: Well you do a great job Barney. Thank you, The technical advisors are a terrific asset as a resource for our club members. I appreciate that. So Pete, the floor is going to be yours for a report on the Bugle.

Pete P: The August issue is about to be put to bed and will be put to bed when I get home. The theme of it is Buick racing. And I talked to Ernie <indistinguishable>of California who has been trying to get me to publish an article on his 1960ish Buick powered race car for about 10 years. And I find that it is great material. He campaigns this thing all over the world. His den is in southern California. He really loves the Buick motor. The thing's powered by a 401 V8. He's had some very impressive drivers in the past and he's wrote about the stories of the past and Buick car racing events. There will also be an article on the drag racing event that happened outside Albany, NY last month. And there will be an article on the GSX Buicks 1970-1972. September issue is going to be on a 1956X model that Don <indistinguishable>in Michigan has been restoring. It was Bill Mitchell's Car. It's basically a 1956 Buick Century that's highly customized by the factory in 1956. The difference between it and the regular 1956 Century are fascinating and he's got pictures of a stock 56 Century convertible and this one. He shows the difference <indistinguishable>and the parts, and it's a fascinating article. October will be the National Meet issue and unless I hear otherwise I'll assume it's gonna be a 64 page issue instead of 48 pages. And I have a question we'd like the board's opinion on. I've been approached by a member in New England to do an article on Alice Ramsey in a future issue. Alice Ramsey was the first woman to drive an automobile across the

United States. She did it in 1910 in a Maxwell. And her grandson is still living in Massachusetts and has all of the materials, and souvenirs, and photographs of the actual drive. And he approached me about this several years ago. There's not a very good Buick connection, not a very good Buick connection here. The most I've been able to come up with is there was a 1909 Buick that participated in the 100^{th} anniversary event which was 100 years ago. It's original material. It's incredibly constructed and very fascinating, but I'm just not sure it belongs in the Bugle. It might be better in the AACA magazine, and I'd like to get your opinion. It's been offered to us and it would be an immense article about early motoring difficulties with a really interesting slant on it. But I'm just not too comfortable with the Buick tie in. <indistinguishable>

Brian C: Would the article require a trip on your part?

Pete P: No.

Alan O: Does the board have an opinion.

Pete P: Any opinions or discussions. Thoughts

John D: I don't have any question. I just want to say I would support that article. I think it's very interesting.

Larry Di Barry: I do too. And I know one of our members of my Mason Dixon Club should have submitted to you, a 1910 road race that went from my region to Philadelphia and back. And <indistinguishable>a 1910 Buick model 10 Roadster runabout. And I know he said he forwarded all the

newspaper articles and photographs that he had saved, and I was excited about it too. So that might be a tie in to that too. And you can expect that from Jim Smith of the Mason Dixon

Pete P: Okay, the name does not sound familiar. When I go home I'll look for that

Larry D: Okay, I have that information from him. He said he was going to send it to you. I just wanted to verify that he had done that.

Mike Book: I just want to mention that I deal with our members on the phone on a regular basis. Many, many of our members are multiple makes owners. And something like this, even though it is not directly Buick related, I think would appeal to other makes members that we have out there, due to its historical nature.

Pete P: I see the potential more and more. In the view that its original material that's available.

Roberta: Do you think maybe you can run it as two parts?

Pete P: Yeah, it is going to be two parts. <cross talk>

Alan O: Well Pete you are our Editor. You've heard some views of the board. It sounds like there is a way to make that fit. Thank you.

Larry D: And before Pete leaves I just wanted to mention. I do have a copy of the image which should be scanned better. Also I do have the entire newspaper article which was lengthy. So I do have that and I'll just forward that to you in case he doesn't send it, so you'll have that.

Pete P: So if you all don't need me, I have an appointment on the field to shoot pictures of the 1960 Texas Station Wagon

Alan O: Thank you Pete. Appreciate it. Is Dave Leash here? Okay, so we're going to move Dave Leash to a bit later and we're going to go to division reports next. And the first one we're going to do is the 36-7-8, Gene Phillips?

Larry D: I'll be the one to give that report.

Alan O: Thank You

Larry D: So for the 36-38 group, again we have moved on to have all inclusive straight 8 cars from '31-'53. But we're basically still a 36-38 group. We have 600 members world-wide and are growing. We've had several very successful tours this year. Gene Phillips, who was our Secretary before is now the Director, as the Club changed hands from Joe Suarez who stepped down this year. We recently have toured North Carolina, and pretty much re-ran the pre-war tour out at South Bend, that was pretty much the same thing. Except at Shipshewana when we had the Hudson Museum which is now being dissipated, but the Hudson museum is still there. We did do the Auburn, Duesenberg, Cord museum again. We did it twice at the South Bend Meet and would do it again. Matt Hinson is our webmaster. You see him on the forum, those of us who participate in the forum things. And also does our newsletter, the Torque Tube II, which is an excellent, excellent, publication too. So, that's it, but Joe Suarez told me to tell everybody; since he was the director at the time I asked for the report, he worked on it < indistinguishable>. So any questions for the 36-38 group?

John D: Did you say 638 members?

Larry D: I think we are 600, at least 600 roll call members.

Alan O: I'm just curious, where are the international members?

Larry D: Well, I don't have that now, but I would say we have at least 50 or 60 international members now. I know we have a good group of them in Sweden and Norway. We just recently had that article about the Switzerland group. There are a lot of 36-38 people in Switzerland. <indistinguishable> during world War II. Question?

Unidentified man: If you opened up the straight eight club I think you ought to change the name of the 36-8 group? **Larry D:** I know that we went to Nashville tour two years ago. That was something on the table, whether we change that going forward. But multiple people were 36-38 Buick owners, but they also had other straight eight cars, so other than changing by-laws and that, that was on their agenda too. I don't know what their actual board of directors meeting entailed this year. I; my car's down now so I didn't participate in that one. Any other questions? < non recorded>. Thank you then.

Alan O: Thanks Larry. The next division report then is 53-54 Skylark.

Joanne De Peppo: Good morning. My name is Joanne De Peppo and I represent the 53-54 Skylark Club. We're a laid back club. We don't have any directors or presidents. Our Club was founded in 1979 by Vin De Peppo, John Garrett, and Bill Robertson. We meet once a year. We have a meet in different parts of the Country. Whoever volunteers to have a meet. And; what else do I want to tell you? The 53 was the 50th anniversary car from Buick and there will be 69 53's and 18 54's and guys estimate that there's still about 40% still out there. And we have a newsletter that's published about 3 or 4 times a year this last year. And many members provide parts or repros for people restoring their cars. And that basically is what we are. Just meet once a year. I guess that's about it

Alan O: Questions of: Nancy?

Nancy Book: Will you share with them where your next meet is going to be?

Joanne De Peppo: Our next meet is going to be in Portland Maine. And the one after that is going to be in Montana. I forget the town name. But we've been to so many states < indistinguishable>. And members will volunteer to host a meet and it's really a tight knit group. And we have at any one time about 200 members, worldwide. Of course members from all over the place. Thank You.

Alan O: Is Frank Lyle from the 58 Division here for us? Did he give a written report? Okay than I'm going to do a little deviation here and bring it back to Dave Leach. Dave, thank you for coming in. Dave had corresponded with me earlier this year about something that I think is an interesting outreach proposal. If you were at our membership meeting you heard us talk a lot about outreach. Reaching out to people who are not members of the Club or used to be, and talking to them about the BCA. So Dave, if you would give us a couple of minutes about the proposal from Mecum? First of all just tell us about what you currently do for the BCA, and then tell us about the Mecum proposal.

Dave Leash: Okay. Good Morning. I'm Dave Leash and I am the merchandiser for the Buick Club of America. We had some background follow up last year, renewing licensing agreements with GM and they decided the perfect time for Christmas to audit me. So I had to re-submit everything for all the brands and fortunately e-mine who was the licensing company for General Motors was no longer there and it was a much more streamlined process. So they were much more friendly, user friendly, easier to work with and we've got some products now that I can bring out much quicker, turn around and sell.

It's just a matter of getting things done. I really appreciate your business and the opportunity, and I want to take time to make a quick presentation of the 2019 t. That's the t-shirt so far. What I was thinking of doing was just adding on; the only problem with this one is it just seems to celebrate 2019 more than Buick Club, so. We're going to add the Buick Club script logo and a 59 and 69 Buick to the logo. So that's what we are still working on with Pete right now. It's got the BCA logo on the front too.

And as far as Mecum, we have good conversations with them. The Cadillac Club has a great relationship with them < indistinguishable>

Alan O: Dave, just make sure, folks who don't follow auctions know who mecum is.

Dave Leash: Oh, I'm sorry. Mecum Auctions have been around a little over 60 years now. They're in business and they started as a family owned business and they are still family owned. And they are now the largest auction company in the world. And they specialize in the items from the 40's, 50's and 60's. Like with the cars there, as far as the cars and the auctions. What they want to do is build a relationship with the car clubs to foster growth for the Club. It's good exposure for the clubs and of course they would like to auction those cars for their auctions as well, to buy and sell. So it's a good opportunity for the club to present itself. We've already got a table set up the auctions already in Kissimmee and Indianapolis. It's going to be a GM table, not just a table for Buick, Oldsmobile, Pontiac, so forth. It's going to be one GM table, just with a banner, a stand up banner. But you can have a representative there from the Chapter to be there at this location < indistinguishable>. So it's important that there's some sort of representation. Whether it be a top of the board, or a chapter representative, it would be important to show up, bring people who love the Club, pass

out pamphlets, and then strike up a conversation to see if they would be interested in joining the Club. So it's a good opportunity to get some exposure. Right now they have the table set up with the Cadillac club and the Chevrolet Club. So they want you to participate. Alan has spoken with the Reverend D Martin and they talked about the Buick Club and Oldsmobile and Pontiac clubs to try and foster some growth and develop a relationship. Any questions?

Chuck Nixon: Yes, I am Chuck Nixon North East Chapter. I just want to add to what you're saying. I've been to three Mecum auctions in the past six months and I've bought two Buicks. <indistinguishable>< laughter> but seriously, especially Indianapolis which is where I bought my 1921 Buick, I think the Buicks are well represented at Mecum. And when you're at the auctions they are an incredible organization, and super friendly and super efficient, so I would encourage the BCA doing anything we can to partner with them for growth, Okay. And I'm not buying any more Buicks. < laughter>

Roberta: So Alan, one of the big sponsors of the Muscle cars/Corvette National is Mecum. Think we can talk to them about what we could do there?

Alan O: I want to be clear about my conversation with the representative. If I understand this right, they are not asking us for money, or a mailing list, or any of that stuff. It looks very much like its transparent. They would like us, as owners of Buicks, to consider their company. And in the mean time they are giving us an opportunity to go to some of their auctions and present ourselves. As far as I can tell there's no strings attached. Question from Mike?

Mike Book: Just a comment I'd like to make. Having worked with Mecum Auctions <indistinguishable>with the Cadillac Club, they are also very open to advertising in the Bugle. Getting some of the Mecum advertising and taking ad space in our magazine, and paid for obviously, and these opportunities to set up at their auctions have been fruitful so far, in just the small time we had to get some of this done for the Cadillac Club. So it's definitely worth investigating < indistinguishable>.

Alan O: Any questions for Dave?

Bruce Kile: Bruce Kile. Just wondering if there's also a possibility of them coming to our national meet with a table? Could you do something like that?

Dave Leash: I can't speak for them but I don't see why we < indistinguishable>

Bruce Kile: I mean it would be good exposure for both of us

Dave Leash: I could call the rep and say: why not come to our Nationals?

Alan O: Thank you Dave **Dave Leash:** I appreciate it.

Alan O: we will now return to our regularly scheduled program, Division reports, and the 59 Division, Brian Clark.

Brian C: Alright, 59 Division has stayed fairly stable this last year. From our last report there were around 130 members, some active, some inactive. Our group has sort of plateaued and we are still looking to find new 59 Buick owners who are willing to join the Club, but they are few and far between. We do have a chapter project where we create and sell a calendar through the Bugle of 59 Buicks that provides all of our operating income. We have our annual meeting, or outing last night at a restaurant down the street that was fantastic. I don't have the figures in front of me right now, but our treasury consists of about \$1,500, myself, being treasurer, and Jeff McConnway being our director. That's about it.

Alan O: Questions about the 59 Division? Okay: 65 GS Division, I know they have a written report, <indistinguishable>John:

John D: This is from Loren Alexander, director of the 65 GS division:

The Membership is approximately 20 to 25 at this time.

In the 2017 calendar year, our group finished the project for '65 Skylark dash pads. Now there is an excellent quality and fit dash pad available. We have also put together a group purchase for remolding the steering wheel through a company in California. An additional project that has been completed is the correct glove box being reproduced for Air conditioned '64-'65 Skylarks. Lastly is a project still in the works to reproduce the correct battery cables for a '65-'66 Gran Sport Skylark.

With the timing of the May '17 Spring Extravaganza in Minnesota, hosted by the Gopher State chapter, we had a BBQ and Division meeting on the preceding Saturday. Rob Keena hosted 22 members and their families.

With the timing of the BCA Nationals in Milwaukee, we again had another get together BBQ with members and their families. It was hosted by Tony Soloza and his wife. It was a great success to say the least.

In September, the last event of the car season was wrapped up with yet another get together of members and their families. This one was held in Ceresco ,MI and hosted by Chuck and Connie Miller.

Coming up this fall is a Labor day weekend gathering to be held in Defiance, Ohio.

Respectively, Loren Alexander

Alan O: Thank You. Rich Gibbs, whom I skipped over last night, along with Rick, so the floor is yours Rich.

Rich Gibbs: Rich Gibbs, Topeka, Kansas . I'm the new director of the BDE for my term. The structure of the BDE is you have three directors. We have a junior director, a middle director, or what I consider a 2nd director and then the Director. At the present time I am the senior Director, the middle director is Charles Steffy, and the junior director is Roberta Vasilow. We have, as of May '18, 104 member families, 4 that did not renew, and three new member families. The four that did not renew have passed. It's an old Club as you can tell. Paula <indistinguishable>is our newsletter editor, of the Driving Force. She does a wonderful job. It's a very formal Newsletter. Fall tours: We have a fall tour coming up September 30th to Oct 4th and it's in Newport , Virginia, just outside the beltway in DC. It's hosted by Ed Lenny and Dennis Snell; is he here? Not here, okay I thought they might be here. They are going to the tourist point of Mount Vernon, The Smithsonian, and aircraft museum near Dulles airport. The big trip is to Fredericksburg VA and the marine museum in < indistinguishable>, Virginia. Now BDE is again, a division of the Buick Club. If you are a Buick Club member, you can attend one BDE event, whether it's the national tour or the fall tour. You don't have to be a member, but we encourage you to be a member. It's all of \$12.00 per year. And the requirements to go on a tour is, you gotta be driving a Buick for one thing, have a fire extinguisher, and a CB radio cause there's a lot of banter that goes on that radio and it also will allow you to not get lost. Hopefully not get lost. And it's just a small group that enjoys driving together and seeing the country. Questions?

Unidentified person: What does BDE stand for?

Rich Gibbs: Buick Driving Enthusiasts, or Buick Driving and eating. < laughter> I've also heard it called Buick driving extremists.

Roberta: Are there applications out in the hospitality room for the BDE?

Rich Gibbs: Right

Roberta: < indistinguishable>

Rich Gibbs: It's just an enjoyable thing. The after tour is hosted by the BDE. Bruce and Shar Kile always host it. It's called the BDE after-tour. It's not; it's the Buick Club after-tour. And this tour starts on Sunday and basically goes down to Colorado Springs, out to Lyman, and into Kansas and ends on Friday in Hutchinson Kansas. There's an unofficial event that takes place at any BDE event. And that is the parking lot races with the remote control cars and trucks. You just got to watch out that you don't get run over. Any questions? < non recorded> Thank you

Alan O: Okay we have time for one more report before break. Bob Starzyk, Modified Division?

Bob Starzyk: I basically took over the Modified Division last year and I've been trying to get it up off the ground much the way Keith did < indistinguishable>. Right now the change after the Bugle listing <indistinguishable>I've been getting a lot more phone calls and e mails on Modifieds. Kris has been helping, taking those phone calls from across the country. I've been talking with Pete, the webmaster, trying to get some type of web site for the Buick Modified Division, And like I said, I'd like to figure out some way of doing some form of advertising in different genera: the National Street Rod Association, The Good Guys association. There are a lot of Buick Modifieds out there that are within these groups that don't even know the Buick Club has a Modified Division, so it's something to see if some type of advertising might help with this. I do not want to start the modified club all over again, I just want to make sure people are informed that Buick Club does have Modifieds, and we'd welcome you into our groups. It's mostly a group of people who come together who enjoy Modifieds for the last 12 years or so. And there's been Modifieds at the shows here, and it has been one of the standing groups. I just try to figure out with guidance from Pete to get some type of website going. Like I said, a big change after they put the Modified Division back into the Bugle. I wasn't getting phone calls and e mails. That did help recognize that there still was a modified Division out there. That's all.

Alan O: Maybe you could shoot to the board what the half a dozen top shows are, that are outreach opportunities at like the Good Guys show, the Street Rod shows..

Bob Starzyk: Well the National Street Rod association opened up. For years they were 1940 or below. But now I go to a few of those shows and there's a lot of Buicks out there That I've informed there's a Modified Division, and I pass out a few flyers here and there for some of the shows I've gone to. Good guys shows I haven't been to for a few years, they stopped doing the Chicago area.

Alan O: If there's one show where BCA should have a presence, where would that be?

Bob Starzyk: That would be the National for the National Street Rod Association. That's the biggest show they have.

It's the consolidated meet for all their shows **Alan O:** When would be the next one?

Bob Starzyk: I think it's sometime in March.

Alan O: Okay

Bob Starzyk: The Buick Rod and Custom Division. I'm a member of that. <indistinguishable>They just don't want to bond with the Buick Club itself. <indistinguishable> it's just that there's some bad blood between the groups from years past. And I apologized for the people that were involved in that. Any questions? < Non recorded>

Alan O: Okay . so in the interest of changing batteries and other things can we take a break? Can we do ten minutes?

Alan O: Okay everyone, thanks for coming back. We're going to jump into our Division Reports. The next division report is from the Performance Division. Ken Lisk sent in a written report and John De Fiore is going to read that.

John D:

The Performance Division held its first event at Lebanon Valley Speedway in West Lebanon NY on May 26th, 2018. Despite a forecast earlier in the week for rain, the day turned out dry and approximately thirty cars attended to race. In addition to racers, other Buick owners attended as spectators. Unfortunately, the Hemmings Musclepalooza show car event scheduled for May 27th was cancelled due to inclement weather. This show typically features many factory correct as well as tastefully modified show Buick's.

The BCA was prominent in the form of John DeFiore manning the BCA booth and Pete Phillips taking photographs and notes for a future Buick article. Additionally, the BCA was announced from the track tower as a lead sponsor of the event. Although this first event was relatively small, it marks the beginning of Performance Division events.

The second event for 2018 will take place at Cecil County Dragway in Rising Sun, MD on September 14th and 15th. This event includes a Buick car show as well as drag racing and typically draws participants from the eastern seaboard out to the Midwest. Racing will occur on both days with the car show on Saturday. The BCA will be represented with a booth and as an advertised major sponsor. Participants will be encouraged to renew existing memberships or join as new members. This event typically draws 60 Buick's participating in racing and 100 Buick's participating in the car show.

We look forward to increasing the organizational structure, membership and the geographical location of events of the Performance Division as we move forward.

Respectfully Submitted

Ken Lisk and John Csordas

And my own comment on that is: I wasn't the only guy in the booth. Our Chapter Director Tony D'Amelio and we had one more of the members attending also, and they helped us promote the event. And even though there were only 30 racers, I was very surprised to sign up two new members. So it kinda shows these outreaches are appropriate, and you may not know it at the time, but you never know where you'll find the next new members. Thank You.

Alan O: Thank you John. The next Division report is Pre War Division.

Mark Shaw: There's a question mark . You know my name is Mark, but I've never seen it abbreviated that way. < laughter>

Alan O: I see that we have two people who stood up. Are you both pre war?

Jack Gerstkemper: Yes.

Mark Shaw: He's no longer a Pre War division member. Are You? < indistinguishable>

Jack Gerstkemper: Not currently. Mark Shaw: Well, are you?

Jack Gerstkemper: Okay, go ahead.

Mark Shaw: Okay, So I am the founding director and current director of the Pre War Division.

< cross talk> Pre War Division consists of just over 400 members worldwide, 20 of which are National Members primarily from Australia, England and I guess you'd call it northern part of Europe. I would like to say and volunteer the Division is alive and well. It's alive, how well it is depends on the sites of the National Meets and whether or not we have anyone step up and volunteer to conduct one of our Pre War after tours. In this case we did not have anybody step up to lead the tour for the Denver Meet. Maybe that's because we were in Colorado Springs, we were at 104*, and maybe everybody was at the darn casino.

The Pre War Division basically meets on the Internet. On the AACA forum there's a pre war section of that forum. And although I published an e mail newsletter for over ten years, in the tenth year I was getting fewer and fewer articles submitted by our members to the point it became

< indistinguishable>. So I basically cut it back to every other month, every quarter, and eventually eliminated it and encouraged all our members to go to the AACA forum to stay in touch with people, pre war members.

There have been struggles with trying to get parking all-together for the pre war cars. Which we struggled for about 5 years back and forth between chief judges and board members, but this year, because of the references that were cited by our Chief Judge earlier, I did not demand, but I notified the board that the Pre War wants to park all together and chronologically, if possible. We agreed that if we could work it out and get the help to do it, we could do it for this meet on a trial basis. And if you'll look outside this week you will see most of the pre war cars are going to be parked chronologically. The reason that came about was primarily because a gentleman by the name of Dave Wojahn. I think I pronounced that correctly. And I want to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to Dave in contacting me and coordinating with me to make it happen here. The one thing I would ask the Board to do is; to take a poll of the members that attended the Meet this year, and to see whether or not there's pros or cons to park this way. There's been a lot of discussion, a lot of miss-information about what was asked for. A lot of resistance to do it because of judging characteristics. I just want to point out that at this year's meet, and I think last year's meet, and next year's meet, all the cars were moved for judging day. So there is no conflict with Judging. If you park the pre war cars prior to the judging day chronologically or just all-together it doesn't matter if it's done chronologically or just all-together. The big emphasis is to let the members who are in sub groups within the BSA congregate and socialize together before all the cars are moved onto a show field and judged. Any questions?

Brian C: the only question I have is as to; Jack stood up at the beginning, and Jack expressed that . .

Mark Shaw: Beginning of what?

Brian C: The beginning of the Pre War Division segment. And Jack expressed to us previously that he felt that he was the founder of the pre war division. So there seems to be some confusion as to who founded the Division, how the leadership is structured, who is actually the director of the Division, and how that is? Is there a current acting director < indistinguishable>.

<cross talk>

Mark Shaw: Jack may differ but basically three of us got together. We all live in Bangor, Washington. Myself, Jack Gerstkemper, and Dave Eberts Sr. And the very first meeting we had at lunch, we had decided that I would be Director, Jack would be Publicity Chairman, and Dave would be Membership Chairman. Dave is still Membership Chairman, and I think Jack sent me a message that effective since he sold his pre war cars, he was no longer a member of the Pre War Division. Am I wrong?

Jack Gerstkemper: Yes you are.

Mark Shaw: By all means, correct me.

Jack Gerstkemper: I want to submit..

Alan O: Please be sure to introduce yourself Jack.

Jack Gerstkemper: my name is Jack Gerstkemper. I was one of the three founders of the Pre War Division. And I was on there as the chairmanship of the Publicity Committee because it just was not possible to work with Mark Shaw. I did not resign the Pre War Division. In fact no one ever resigns from the pre war division. There's no provision for dropping people from the pre war division. I am still a member according to the Membership Chairman.

But what I want to point out is that the roster of the Pre War Division is never edited. The 400 members that Mark refers to, in the states and the members overseas, are members that showed interest at one point of joining the < indistinguishable>. Since there's no dues they put them on the roster and never delete them so you have a great number of non members on Mark's membership list. You have deceased members. To give you an example, Dave Corbin is a member on the roster. Joe Taubitz is a member even though he dropped out of the BCA quite a while ago. I, at least, am BCA member, and I am still on their mailing list and I am still on the membership roster.

What I was <indistinguishable>with Mark Shaw as the director; there is no director listed in the Bugle, no director listed on the Web site. What we have in place of a director is a URL for a web site. In both on the BCA website and on the forum, and written up in the Bugle, there is no listing of Mark Shaw being director. So what I am doing today is I'm stepping forward and offering to be the Director of the Pre war Division. And I am going to give you a petition to that effect. (See Addendum 2 for the petition)

Brian C: I have a question, has there been an election?

Jack Gerstkemper: There has never been an election of officers in the Pre War Division. Mark Shaw has assumed the membership wants him to do it. He quit one time; quit the Club and the position sat vacant. Then he comes back and decides to be the director again. Well there's a number of us in the Pre War Division that don't think that's right. You can't just come and go and appoint yourself director.

So I'm asking you in this petition is; that the Board dissolve the Pre War Division. That there is no Director, there is no membership chairman, there's no Promotion Chairman, and the membership Chair Dave Eberts Sr. has told me that he wants to quit. He doesn't want to do it anymore.

The organization is basically Mark Shaw. There's no assistant director, no secretary, there's no treasurer, there's no board of directors. They have no By-Laws. They have no meetings, there's no minutes, they publish no newsletter. I dispute the fact that he published one for ten years because I was publicity chairman while he was publishing it and I think it was more like two or three years. There's no treasury, there are no dues, and they have a membership list that includes deceased people on it and non BCA members.

What the reality of the pre war Division is, is it's a loosely organized group on the BCA forum. And you can go on the BCA forum and see who the active members are. There are maybe a dozen of them, I estimate, of people who are actually active in the Pre War Division. There's no communication anywhere else other than getting on that forum, so members who don't feel like participating in the forum are never going to know what's happening < indistinguishable>. The Pre War Division was originally designed to foster additional opportunities for touring with pre war cars. And I like you to notice that there are no; none of those people in the Pre war Division were active for touring last night. Mark Shaw was not there, the driving tour is not there, there's no after-tour. What this Division has come down to is a contentious organization that's fixated for some reason on parking. And you just heard Mark Say it's just going to be a dispute in Oklahoma.

So what I've done is offer a petition that offers an alternative to this current organization. And what I'm asking is that the Board designates me as the Director, since I was a founding member of the Pre War Division. When we were gathered together in that restaurant I was a member of the BCA, Mark Shaw was not a member of the BCA, Dave Ebert was not a member of the BCA. Mark Shaw didn't know what a division was till I explained it to him, and he joined the BCA, 2 months before the petition to approve the Pre War Division was signed.

I believe I have a legitimate plan to be an interim Director of the Pre War Division until we can form a pre war division that is not so contentious, that is offering the members the type of experience with the pre war cars that they wanted when we originally founded this club, or this Division, instead of all the conflict that's going on. I've offered this to people I know I can work with to accomplish that goal, objective.

My intention is to have; to be, an interim Director for two years, in which time we will assemble a new organization, and have <indistinguishable>, and elect a Director.

I'm willing to work with anybody you want, as well as the people who signed the petition. Kris has offered to help me. Norm <indistinguishable>has offered to help me. Others have offered to help. But what I'm not willing to do, is work with the four people who seem to be leading this pre war Division right now. That is Terry Weigand, Larry Di Barry, Mark Shaw and Larry Schramm. And I'd give you specific reasons for that but I think I'd rather not. It's just going to cause more conflict.

But what I'm offering is; I'm offering the board an opportunity to get a Pre War Division that's more willing to work with you, and as an example of that I volunteered to be Pete Philip's parking chairman for the Oklahoma Meet, and he accepted. And I intend to park the cars as Pete wants them. As his committee wants em parked. We're not going to cause another conflict about parking. We've done this for five years now. We're arguing about parking and Mr. Shaw just admitted it totally depends on the Meet Chairman. Well, that's the way I feel too. It totally depends on the Meet Chairman.

Alan O: In the interest of fairness, I think I'm not going to get engaged in a back and forth except this one time. Are there any points Mark, in addition, you'd like to make

Mark Shaw: The only point I'd like to make is that what I stated was correct. I was the founding chairman.

Terry Weigand: Hey Mark can you turn that thing up, people can't hear you.

Mark Shaw: I was named as Director at that time that the Division was founded. And I think if you look at the documents that were submitted for application as a division, you'll find my name there as the Director. I actually welcome someone else to step up and become director of the Pre War Division. I have no problem at all with that, as long as this new division still promotes activities for pre war cars that were not previously offered by the BCA. I think that's probably the best thing I can say.

Alan O: Okay, Alright. The question of; about continuity has come up. You have been a BCA member from the time <indistinguishable>

Mark Shaw: No, if you look at the; when I ran for the board in the previous election, and you look at the; what I submitted as far as my background, you'll find in there that the reason I took off for two years was because I had elderly parents that needed more care than I could do with the BCA on top of it. So I took two years off to take care of my elderly parents. They've since passed, and I came back on board. I offered at the time that I left that someone else needed to step up and take over that position. As Jack mentioned, it remained vacant for the two years. When I came back some of the members asked me to just resume the role, and I did. I also mention that I have not had a conversation with Mr. Gerstkemper in probably over two years. So he was welcome to call me any time < indistinguishable>

Alan O: There was no election of officers.

Mark Shaw: No, what; at the time there were only 8 or 10 members that signed the petition and somebody had to be named as Director, and they named me.

Alan O: Okay. Is there discussion on the Board?

John D: <indistinguishable>

Rick S: that's correct.

Alan O: Well, I could do that. I would entertain a motion to hold discussion by the board on the petition as presented.

Brian C: I will make such a motion that the board consider Jack's petition as written and that we reorganize the BCA Pre War Division under his temporary leadership at his request. And hope that we all can come together and form a stronger pre war group as a result. So my motion is: to accept the petition of Jack Gerstkemper to reorganize the Pre War Division under his leadership.

Alan O: Is there a second?

Rick S: I'll second that. (Assigned motion # 2017-2018-45)

Alan O: We have a motion by Brian, seconded Rick, that we accept the petition by Jack to reorganize the Pre War Division. Now, is there discussion?

Larry D. I don't have discussion on that cause I cannot vote on anything, I'm not even going to consider that. I'm not going to vote on that cause my name is on there saying Mr. Gerstkemper will not work with me as anything dealing with the Pre War Division. So my vote will not be counted anyway.

Terry W: I'd like to say that I think this Board is getting ready to lose members, and in a few hours have more new members come on. I think the new board should consider that. And I take serious offense to being maligned by Mr. Gerstkemper as anyone he can't work with. I want to say to Mr. Gerstkemper; they're doing marvelous things in mental health these days. And you ought to look into it.

Alan O: Terry, that's out of order!

<cross talk>

Alan O: That out of order Terry.

<cross talk>

Terry W: I think what he said is out of order. **Alan O:** We can disagree, we will not call names.

Terry W: I didn't call him names.

Alan O: We will not make inferences such as you made. You may disagree professionally, that's fine. We all have differing opinions. We will not infer such things in this meeting. Is there any other discussion?

Brian C: I just want to ask Mark if there are any governing documents for the Division that allow for elections of officers? That seems to be in question.

Mark Shaw: No, because it was submitted as basically an on line group. We don't have physical meetings, there really is no way to vote a new set of board members, elections, meetings and stuff like that, members in, there is no board per se. There's just three founding members and because we communicated via the internet it's just very difficult to get our group together. I mean how do you determine what a quorum is? I don't know, it's just way too difficult. So; and I will say too, I should add, that Dave Eberts was asked several months ago to verify that the membership roster he maintains includes members of the BCA, and he's been doing that by e mail. But when you have members all over the Country, that's a difficult task. And Dave Eberts also has some physical health problems and it's been difficult for him to get that done.

Rick S: Mark, I just need to ask a question. Do you have, I understand your difficulty in identifying your number of members. But to jack's point, do you have a count on your number of true, active, alive, members you have today? And

what I am trying to ascertain is, taking everything into consideration, number of active members, meetings, elections and things like that, is the Division currently meeting the requirements of the BCA to be a Division? That's my question.

Mark Shaw: It meets the requirements that the Division put forward at the time it applied as a Division. And if you look at those documents which ..

Rick S: And I don't doubt that..

Mark Shaw: I'd be happy to resubmit those documents to the board if ...

Rick S: But what about today? The requirements as far as I understand it, those requirements need to be met on a continuing and ongoing basis. So if you fall short on any of those I'm not sure we have a valid Division. That's what I'm trying to answer.

Mark Shaw: It really doesn't matter because you've accepted the petition to reorganize it anyway.

Rick S: No we haven't. There's a motion on the floor and we're having a discussion and terminology before a vote<indistinguishable>.

Mark Shaw: Okay. But I can't answer your question till I look at those documents and see what's there. We followed the application that the BCA required at the time. I completed that application in its entirety.

Rick S: So you don't know how many active, alive members you have in the Pre War Division.

Mark Shaw: I can't give you a number. I know that the roster that Dave last gave me was from; I believe it was from 2016. I need to verify, but since then, we don't know.

Rick S: So you don't know then is what you're saying.

Mark Shaw: Not since 2016 is the last updated Roster that I got from Dave.

Rick S: Two years. So right now you don't know.

Bill S: We need how many members to form a Division?

Alan O: 10 Bill S: Okay.

Alan O: is there any other discussion on the board?

Roberta: Yes
Alan O: Roberta?

Roberta: I really think we should delay making any votes, taking any votes on this, and passing this till more information is provided. I don't want to make changes because I think there's bound to be confusion with taking over the Modified Division which is also kinda similar with their email and information on the web. I think we need a better understand of what I view before we vote on it because there needs to be more background, there's too much in time, trouble. I think we really need to look at this and see if we can get some guidance on how we generate, revolution, let's talk

Alan O: there is still a motion and second on the floor so we proceed on that. Are there any other discussions from the board? < none recorded>

Alright, there being no further discussion, then I will call for a vote on the motion on the floor; to accept a petition by Jack Gerstkemper to form a new Pre War Division. I think for clarity we should go board member by board member. So let me first ask if there are any board members who abstain?

Larry Di Barry, and Terry Weigand abstain, and Roberta. Are there any board members who vote No? < None recorded> So, Robert Safrit, how do you vote?

Robert S: Yes.

Alan O: Rick, how do you vote?

Rick S: Yes
Alan O: Brian?
Brian C: Yes
Alan O: John?
John D: Yes

Alan O: then we have 4 yes 3 abstentions,

John D: Ed's absent.

Alan O: Ed's absent. Mr. Secretary, does the motion pass?

John D: Yes it does.

Alan O: The motion passes, to accept a petition by Jack Gerstkemper to reorganize the Pre War Division. Okay.

John D: Hold on a second. We're in a tie situation here. We have three yes', three abstentions, one person absent, that's three to three.

Alan O: there were 4 yes's. Bob, Rick, Brian and John.

John D: Brian, Rick Bob and John. Here it is, I forgot to count myself.

Alan O: So motion is passed. Jack, what are the next steps?

Jack Gerstkemper: I'll get together with the people on the list, <indistinguishable> and figure out how we will move on.

Alan O: The board will expect to hear from you in a period of time?

Jack Gerstkemper: <indistinguishable> in a few months?

Alan O: Okay. Mike did you have a question?

Mike Book: the only thing I suggest before Jack moves forward with this, is that they read and abide by article 8, Divisions, and in the By- Laws, section 2, which defines what is needed from that division to set up everything, and that does include governing documents.

Alan O: Thank you Mike. At this point we move on to the next division report. This would be the Reatta Division, is Anne here?

Anne Gibson: I am Anne Gibson, director of the well organized Reatta Division. We have an assistant director, Laura <indistinguishable Our secretary/treasurer is Gerry Richstein, who continually updates our roster. Presently we have 240 members worldwide. We have one meeting a year, which will be tomorrow. We have one big activity a year which was yesterday. We had the Reatta rendezvous where we caravanned up to Celestial Seasons and did a quick tour, then we had lunch at the historic Chautauqua Dining Hall in Boulder, Colorado, and then we did a tour of pikes peak highway. We have a nominating committee and annual elections, and we have an advisory committee. Are there any questions? <none recorded> Thank You.

Alan O: Sounds very robust Anne, Thank you. Yes, Mike, you have a guestion?

Mike Book: I just have a comment. I would just like to make it known that the Reatta Division, out of all the divisions we have in the BCA, is the only one that contacts me in the national office to confirm that their members are indeed, members of the National. And they remember every, every, year.

Nancy Book: One time a year, every year.

Mike Book: And these guys have been doing this every year for a number of years.

Alan O: So, for every Division in here, that's a best practice. And a practice to follow.

Roberta: That's required by every Division and Chapter. That every year you submit your list to the Books to verify BCA membership.

Alan O: I think we're done with our division reports .

John D: I make a motion that we accept all the Division Reports.

Alan O: Motion by John to accept the Division reports. Is there a second?

John D: Alan may I have the mic a minute?

Alan O: Sure

John D: I'd like to modify that to Division and Standing Committee reports.

Alan O: Seconded by Brian. (assigned motion # 2017-2018-46) Is there discussion? < none recorded> there being no discussion we'll take a vote on accepting the division and standing committee reports. Are there any abstentions? <none recorded> Are there any No votes? < none recorded> All in favor say Aye.

All Board Members: Aye

Alan O: Motion to accept division and standing committee reports is passed. Thank you John, keeping us on task. The next item on our agenda is a report on the process to digitize old Bugles. I'm going to turn that over to Mr. Stoneberg.

Bill S: Thanks to Mike Book we got a full set of Bugles for free. <cross talk> also Nancy, I'm sorry.

Nancy Book: That's okay.

Bill S: We have sent them off to be digitized. They are getting scanned and created into PDF's using OCR scanning so you'll be able to search them. They are doing them by year. Right at the moment they are doing 1966 almost up to the 1990's we've got them scanning them. They are putting them onto a hard drive. There are actually two hard drives for us, and they should be done by the end of the month they say.

Cost on that was quoted to be \$2,700. They gave us a \$700 discount for paying with a check as opposed to a credit card. It was \$385.00 to ship everything out there and another \$120 for the two hard drives. Then we will have all the Bugles on to a digital format that we can then use them how we see fit. Thank you.

Alan O: Any questions for Bill on that? < none recorded>Thank you Bill. Lets talk about the Bugle printing contracts. We're at the point with Modern Litho where we need to consider renewing their contract. I'm going to turn it over to Brian who did a great deal of work on this.

Brian C: We did have a contract with Modern Litho which expired in March, and they have been graciously extending services on a month to month basis since then. So I handed out a spread sheet showing the comparison between the four bids we received for the contract. These are all based on 6,800 copies. And the detail from every vendor are fairly similar in what they offer as far as paper weight, finish, style stitch, trim, staple package, prepared for NCOA certified labels, so the Post Office will accept them for shipping.

We had four bids. The first bid is from Johnson Press. That bid came to \$1.03 per issue. Sutherland Press, or Sutherland companies, submitted a bid for 80 cents per issue but their bid was lacking in detail. It merely said: print 6,800 copies of the Bugle for this dollar amount. They provided us no details on paper other than paper weight 60lb, which is lower than what we're using now. No details on poly-wrapping, no details on the cover wrap, and no < indistinguishable>. Baker Press submitted a bid that came out to \$1.80 per issue. And Modern Litho submitted essentially what we are paying today as their bid, which is \$1.25 per issue. They also detailed enough to include that it's a five year contract. No one else mentioned contract length so we're assuming one year. And Modern Litho asked for a standard on their contract as a built in inflation increase of 3% per year plus passing on any increase in the paper cost, which was also mentioned from Johnson Press and Baker Press.

So based on these details, while Johnson Press does come in at a slightly lower cost per issue, our long standing relationship with Modern Litho and some of the benefits that Modern Litho provides to us are intangibles as far as the contract goes. And unfortunately, Pete has left the building so he has a lot more details I'm sure he'd like to speak to, We'll get to that during the discussion period.

I'd like to make a motion: that we enter into a new five year contract with Modern Litho at their submitted bid price of approximately \$1.25 per issue.

Alan O: Is there a 2nd?

Roberta: I'll second. (assigned motion # 2017-2018-47)

Alan O: Roberta seconds. Is there discussion by the board? No Discussion by the board? Okay then it's time to vote on the motion to extend the contract with Modern Litho for five years by Brian. Are there any abstentions? < none recorded>Are there any No votes? < none recorded>All in favor say Yes.

All Board Members: Yes

Alan O: Motion Passes, that will be with no abstentions. Thank you for your work on that Brian. <indistinguishable> We're about to move into the new business section of the agenda. Several items have been added to the new business and one item moved down earlier. So, John Steed? We'd like to talk with you.

If you'd just go over some details about our show in 2021? Come on up to the microphone please.

John Steed: John Steed, Chairman of the National Meet Committee, well, or I was. Last Wednesday after the election, I'm not. At our Meeting we talked to Brian De Pouli and in the previous, probably a year, I've been talking to various people about hosting a meet in 2021. And the way it worked out, Brian was the only one person that offered anything. And he's basically offering to do what he did back in 2012. So we're hoping to use the race track. Hoping to use Embassy Suites. Kinda the same plane. It worked out once so he's got the connections. He hopes to get some money from the Charlotte group. Someone's coming from the Visitors and Convention Bureau on Thursday to talk about getting some money. I think he's hoping it's gonna be \$149.00 a night but at the Embassy that includes breakfast in the morning and it also includes two drinks for those who drink. And so the \$149.00 he might be able to get that down lower but it'll be interesting especially considering as Helmes-Brisco's<indistinguishable> not here. Helmes-Brisco probably won't come in and give us a bid that'll compete with Brian. But we don't need Helmes-Brisco to work on it. My experience with them is; once someone's given you a price, they won't come in and bid on it. <indistinguishable>. Any questions?

Brian C: My understanding is that in 2012, so you'd be looking at 9 years difference, the rate 9 years ago would have been \$129. So now you're looking at \$149 over a 9 year period and that seems to be where the hotel rates are going, unfortunately. To clarify, it's free breakfast and two drinks per person in the room, so it's comparable that you're getting two breakfasts and four drinks at that price which helps to make it a little more palpable.

John Steed: Any other questions? I mean the real issue for the National Meet Committee is even finding somebody to host the National. It would be nice if we had a place where we had two or three people wanted to do it but it's < indistinguishable>.

Bill S: So if I understand Brian correctly, he's either willing to work with the National Meet Committee or he's willing to do it as a Chapter.

John Steed: Correct. And that is not; I advised Brian to do that. It's not; you explained it that way.

But that's not the way the National Meet Committee explained to me Brian's show a couple of years ago. You're taking about the way it was set up ten years ago. When I joined the committee and took over chairman it was explained to me that basically, the profit, if there is a profit, the profit gets divided between the National Meet Committees fund for our budget line item, and the other half goes to the volunteers that worked for that chapter. The chapter or who ever hosted that meet kinda determines who gets paid what, from the profit. What you're talking about; what he told us the other night; that the Chapter didn't want to do the National Meet unless they could just take all the profit.

Bill S: That was how it was set up in the beginning.

John Steed: Well, I wasn't there in the beginning. I mean <indistinguishable> And you know I'm a new member, I had just joined the Buick Club in < indistinguishable>, some of you guys have been in it a lot longer than I have. And I been a director and I've worked with a lot of organizations and stuff, but we do not do a very good job of passing information from one group to the other. The Board makes a decision, three years later nobody remembers it. It's a problem somewhat because we need to have the information passed on, but we don't do a very good job, but we'll work on it.

Rick S: John, I want to get back to the point of this meeting. I do have one question. What's the jumping off timeline, how much time do we have to make a decision? Is it pretty much like the three years in Indiana, where it took that much time to sign the contracts, and is that why you're asking about Charlotte now? Or is it appropriate to think about it, and wait six months and see if someone else surfaces?

John Steed: I think we'd like to have three years, kinda like a three year plan. So it's not like it has to be done today but you know, I have been out trying to ask. I had 4 different people I had talked to about this that were interested at one time but as things went along they kept dropping out. The chapter didn't want to do it or whatever. I think they need some planning time ahead to get it, so, we've been trying to get ahead for years, plus we want to get started on the next one.

Rick S: Now that makes perfect sense. And I think you're wise to get ahead, and at this point no one else has come forward, and you'd know that better than me, and it doesn't look like anybody will. Getting back to your earlier comment that led to some discussion among members in here. We really need to get more definitive guidelines about hosting the national meets. Who's driving the bus? Is it the National Meet Committee, is it the board, is it primarily the national group, can the local group do it?

Maybe it's a self serving question I'm asking. Chicago is contemplating doing 2022. We're not sure who's in charge? Should we use Helmes-Brisco? Can we go on our own? Should we go on our own? This is all the questions you've just been talking about. Continuity and the lack of passing information on to the next group. I think the board, via the board, and maybe the succeeding board, I think we need to come up with an SOP that makes it clearer about how we proceed in terms of getting national meets hosted, and who <indistinguishable>what the treasury is gonna be? That's a key question?

What's our options? You know, if Chicago does it, as treasurer of Chicago am I going to be treasurer of the National Meet? Are you gonna be? Who negotiates the contracts? People in Chicago likely have not done this before. I did participate in the 1995 meet when we hosted it. Or do we rely on Helmes-Brisco and I'll throw this out there too. There seems to be conflicting information or opinions; yes Helmes-Brisco does not charge us anything currently directly. Are they getting money back from somebody as a result of negotiating on our behalf? And are members indirectly paying a higher price so that we are basically compensating Helmes-Brisco, or any other organization?

All I; I don't personally care about which direction we go. I just think we need some guidance and I think we need to do what's in the best interest of the members in terms of getting the costs as minimal as they can, cause we had a large gathering in Chicago, and I'll tell you we had five members who made it out there. That's it! And a lot of people didn't come, and I'm not criticizing anyone or anything. It's been a fabulous meet, but the cost of it is starting to drive people away. Especially the people who are retiring and are on limited incomes and it's going to eat the cost of coming out of a complete package, or anywhere it's affecting their decision making. So I think we need to have; do it as efficiently as possible, whatever the best method is, and let's get some guidelines out there to help everybody else. And maybe that will even help you to get more people stepping up and volunteering and saying, we'd like to do it. I'll get off my soap box.

John Steed: Well one thing I thought about and didn't put in that, were talking splitting the profits 50/50. There's also the understanding that if the meet lost money, the BCA was going to pick that up out of our national meet account. And I will tell you, Denver is what we're gonna find. We go to Denver; they would have never accepted a bid for this meet cause they didn't have the money to take a loss.

Rick S: And that should also be a factor, something in consideration with respect of any policy we set forth.

Alan O: Mike Book?

Mike Book: As one of the founding members on the National Meet Committee when it was first set up, I was involved in probably organizing that. My question is: what ever happened to the National Meet Guidelines that we did at that time? We had an organized form of how to go about setting up the national meet, what was involved as a volunteer. It was a very organized thing that was set up for that. Whatever happened to using that National Meet Guideline that we produced?

John Steed: I've got the one Mike produced.

Jerry Courson: I'd also note we did one too when I was on the board.

John Steed: Well I don't know if we got yours Jerry. I don't know if we ever passed yours to somebody else or passed em down <indistinguishable>. I got the ones Mike sent me. And we've talked about em, and we reworked em. You got to realize, when Mike did this, he was in a different situation. You went out and had the Meet someplace where there wasn't a chapter. And quite honestly, Helmes-Brisco was very good for that. But if I'm going someplace; you give me a town and throw a dart at it and say you want a Meet there, I'd want Helmes-Brisco for it. If you're going someplace and we decided we want a chapter cause we want some feet on the ground help to do some of the work, then things are a little different.

Rick S: The problem becomes; I agree with you John, but when you particularly have the local; the local chapter hosting the meet, they don't always have the experience and they still need some guidance from the National Meet Committee or someone on the board who is experienced in negotiating contracts. The things I've see happen over many years; the local chapter who negotiated the contract and then, at the beginning of the meet, you hear, oh, we needed a judges breakfast? Oh, Ok! And you will pay a premium for things like that, and all the little things you don't, because you haven't done things like that, and you're not expected to know these things, but the local chapters need guidance and there needs to be some procedure for that.

Alan O: Mike Book the floor is yours.

Mike Book: I would volunteer for that, to go back and help write the policy for a National Meet Program. Again with a group of two or three people that would help <indistinguishable> . But I honestly believe we have to go back and revise what we<indistinguishable> have. And, I do recommend that we consider going back to using Helmes-Brisco . Now as John mentioned, when I did something in the early meets when I was doing it, I didn't have Helmes-Brisco. I negotiated my own contract. It worked at that time. With the Hotel and the situation we're at today, you need a company like Helmes-Brisco to come in. Helmes-Brisco does not make money off this Club. Our members do not pay any premium rate for using Helmes-Brisco. Helmes-Brisco is paid by the hotels where they do this. They get paid by the Hotel because they can bring them more business with our meet. Helmes-Brisco brings money into the hotel. The hotel therefore gives Helmes-Brisco preferential pricing on most of what they do, to bring them in and get them involved with the hotel. I am using Helmes-Brisco with Cadillac Club and what little we use them in the Buick Club, I was very familiar with what they were doing. They are an excellent organization. We pay nothing; our members pay nothing for the use of their services. That comes into a totally different package between Helmes-Brisco and their hotels. We have always gotten better pricing for our rooms using Helmes-Brisco than at any other time I would negotiate a contract.

John Steed: I can <indistinguishable> , I've seen it differently in my head from my experience firsthand. Mike wasn't involved in that experience. But Mike wasn't involved with it.

Alan O: Okay, Lets <indistinguishable> Helmes-Brisco <indistinguishable> and I don't want this to be long and involved, can we keep it specific. What are your views on Helmes-Brisco. This is an important consideration as we move forward on the national meets. It's important..

<cross talk>

John Steed: Okay, I see; the subject here is Charlotte. So Charlotte; Brian De Pouli: we've been talking it over with him. He's got a price. So now Brian De Pouli, and we told him work with Helmes-Brisco, that's kinda like standard practice, work with Helmes-Brisco. But he didn't work with Helmes-Brisco in 2012. He knows those people, he's got his price. He thinks the price was too high. He'd like to get Helmes-Brisco involved. <indistinguishable> Helmes-Brisco <indistinguishable> because I was instructed, ask someone else. Ask Helmes-Brisco to go to one of the other hotels besides the one we want to use.

Helmes-Brisco came back: oh, we can't do that. That would be unethical.

The feeling was, they talked price and that upset Helmes-Brisco. And he got a price. And Helmes-Brisco would not come back in and try to find him another hotel. Okay.

Alan O: Well. One of the things I know is that most members have expressed that they are not pleased with Helmes-Brisco. <indistinguishable> that is an expression for the National Meet Committee. I also know that they have been a great partner with the BCA in several instances. So, we are not bound. We do not have a contract with Helmes-Brisco.

We do not have to use them. My opinion is: it's smart to have a conversation with them to see what they can do for us. I think there is an assumption being made, because of things being said, that they might cost us more. And I think we need to hear their point of view, hear their side, and what they have been able to do for us. Beyond that I don't think we're going to resolve this today because we can't get that far into it. Bruce wanted to speak, he's ahead of Jack, so Bruce would you come up and take the mic.

Bruce Kile: Well, I don't think I need a microphone because if you don't know, I am known as the mouth of the south. You answered the question, one of the questions I was gonna ask. And that is, are we bound contractually to use Helmes-Brisco? And just to correct my wonderful, great friend, John. It isn't that Atlanta didn't want to do it John. It was because we had a little discussion about the Helmes-Brisco thing and we, those of you who have been around for a long time recall we had two great Meets in 1984 and 1994 at the Marriot Board West in Atlanta. Probably one of the, and still today I think one of the greatest facilities to host a car show. Unfortunately when we were asked, could we go to the Marriot, <indistinguishable>. What happened was, the Atlanta Braves chose to build a new stadium, I should say <indistinguishable> whiskers, invited the Atlanta Braves to build a new stadium right across the interstate. Parking became a little bit of an issue and they are contracted with the Marriot hotel and I did not like to deal with the baseball crowd because, number 1, we don't know in advance as to what the years are going to be, I mean the schedule was going to be. So consequently that really threw a damper in it. And everybody has said; aren't there other hotels in the Atlanta area? Yes there are, but I like the concept of kinda keeping it out of the main stream of down town metropolitan type areas.

Going back just to your comment, may I suggest that you do clarify that with Jack, if we are asking for hosting of this event and let them have the option. Cause in all honesty, I think we have people in our chapter capable of dealing with hotels. And if I could just brag a little here, when we are dealing with hotel bookings for our BDE, I think that that lady, that big tall lady that runs the hospitality room, I think that she and I together have developed an ability to negotiate with hotels. So, if I was doing the national meet I think that we, in our chapter, could negotiate. Maybe some of the other smaller chapters could not, therefore enter Helmes-Brisco. And one final comment about the Charlotte. Brian has reached out to other chapters, and the Dixie Chapter will be very much a part of helping that National. Be it so, he's also reached out to a couple of other neighboring ones. Just as a cursory, just if it happened, that's how he presented it; IF we do the National in Charlotte, would the Dixie Chapter, and I can tell you in all honesty, yes. So <indistinguishable> by that time <laughter> Thank you.

Alan O: Thank you. Jack did you want the floor?

Jack Welch: Jack Welch, I guess I'm kinda the National Meet Committee chairperson. <indistinguishable> I'll be very brief. I think that there is a place for Helmes-Brisco and a place where we don't need em. And like Kile said, there are a lot of chapters where people have negotiated their own contracts. The big problem that I have found with any Helmes-Brisco situations we have had to look at on the National Meet Committee is the; very often Helmes-Brisco lets the hotel write the contract. And there are "got-chas" in there that, being in construction all my life, I would call penalty clauses. And some of those penalty clauses, if you miss them, could cause a national meet that could be successful in every other way, to be down the tubes. And one other factor I always think about is: we're living in a different world today than we even did five years ago, in that, if some type of horrible event takes place at an airport where you're hosting a meet or in an area where your hosting a meet, that whole meet could all of a sudden cancel out and go down the tubes. And you've got these clauses that are gonna make the BCA liable for room attrition etcetera.

So, these are things we can talk about down the road but I just wanted to clarify that.

Alan O: I want to move on, so Bob, do you have something quick?

Bob Starzyk: One comment, Helmes-Brisco did a fantastic job for our show. And < indistinguishable>. I've been doing some exploratory for Chicagoland, and the South Chicago Convention and Tourism Bureau and talked to them over there. As soon as I mentioned Helmes-Brisco, it was like a door closed. So I mean people in their areas that have a group, together they put on a meet. They know the area.

They know where to go to do some of this. A lot of convention centers and hotels do not want to work with Helmes-Brisco. They do a fantastic job if you're looking for a place where we do not have a chapter that would run the show. The chapters know what to do in a lot of the areas themselves that we go to. And I think we have enough legal minds on the board that can go over contracts, to make sure that we are protecting ourselves. So, like I say, if you're doing a meet without a chapter, Helmes-Brisco is the best way to go. But Chapters doing a show, they know where to go to.

Brian C: One question for Jack and possibly John. If we were to approve the Charlotte location for 2021 are you comfortable negotiating the open terms that Brian laid out, such as the financial split and the Helmes-Brisco, those few

questions that he has? Are you comfortable that the National Meet Committee can handle this?

<cross talk>

Jack Welch: Yes, I am very comfortable. Brian has worked with that hotel before. I think that's a really good rate that they've given us. His actual terms in there, there were no deal breakers in that list of terms. Everything he had was negotiable and it's going to come down to what comfort level that his chapter has with the national meet split.

Brian C: If you're that confident, I will make the motion that: The Board of Directors accept the National Meet Committee's recommendation for Charlotte as the host location, with Brian De Pouli as the Meet chairman for 2021.

Alan O: Need a second

Larry D: Second, Larry (assigned motion # 2017-2018-48)

Alan O: Okay, Larry. It's been moved and seconded that the Board of Directors accept the offer by the Charlotte area chapter to host the meet for 2021. Is there discussion on the board?

Bill S: Is it going to be as hot as it was last time? < laughter>

<cross talk>

Alan O: there being no further discussion we'll take a vote: Are there any who abstain from this?

Roberta: I will

Alan O: Roberta abstains. Are there any who vote No? <none recorded> All in favor say Aye:

All Other Board Members: Aye.

Alan O: Motion passes. < to Jack Welch> Would you get back to our person in Charlotte for us?

<applause>

Alan O: Okay, we're going to take a moment. Is Lonnie here?

Lonnie Priest: Yes.

Alan O: Hey Lonnie, thank you for coming in. We've had a request that we talk a little bit about more of this meet specifically registration numbers, car numbers, etcetera. If you would just give us a sense of some numbers if you could. **Lonnie Priest:** My voice is coming back. We are happy to invite, not invite, to welcome all of you. This has just been great energy in this building so far. We've had 315 registrations, we have 32 vendors, we have 164 cars, and 46 trailers that are parking in the next couple of days. Historically, registrations at 315, we should have had 75% of that in cars, just looking back over the last 10 years, so we are low on cars but everyone's coming together to have fun. So we arranged one to two tours everyday and we had, according to Roy, the highest number of Tuesday registrations he'd ever seen because we had great tours going on Wednesday for everybody. So it told us people are interested in coming, interested in coming early if there's something for them to do. So I would just recommend that.

We're only having 68- 400 point cars this year. And a lot of display cars. So there's not a whole lot being judged this year, but people are coming in and bringing their cars for < indistinguishable>this year. And <indistinguishable> to have fun, right? So, we have 118 people taking the BHA banquet tonight and right now we have 334 taking the awards banquet. So it's a great number from the number of registrations that we're doing. I would like; can I just take a minute to address the Helmes-Brisco thing for us, or not?

Alan O: Yes, go ahead.

Lonnie Priest: Keep it short? Okay. Our experience with Helmes-Brisco has not been stellar. We went on the board's recommendation that we use them, so we called them; what would you like us to do? Our feeling was the contract came through without having been looked at by them. I combed the contract. Went through and I found those "gotcha" clauses. I sent the contract back to the Helmes Brisco and said this is not acceptable, negotiate this down. They had come in with \$30,000 food and beverage. I said unacceptable, I want 12 and I want it inclusive. They said no. we'll do 12 but we won't do inclusive. So we have a \$12,000 budget, which we are exceeding, and we negotiated it on behalf of the BCA because we didn't want to get stuck. The Board told us we needed to reduce our "comped " room nights, that we were expecting too many people. It was a "got-cha". When the RFQ went out we got an RFQ back from an area office that said the whole hotel is ours. So when they came back with those room nights, we said the board's told us we need to reduce it on these nights, so reduce it to here. We were expecting it to be a floor. It became a ceiling. And there-in came our problem with trying to get people into this hotel.

So if you use Helmes-Brisco, if you negotiate on your own, make sure that it is a floor, and not a ceiling. Because we were told to use Helmes-Brisco we thought they had our back on that and that we had a floor, not a ceiling. That was not the case. And so we got, I think our registration numbers are low because of that. People could not get into this hotel when they wanted to get in. So that's our experience

Alan O: When you say the Board, do you mean the National Meet Committee? Cause I don't think that the board got involved in those contracts.

Lonnie Priest: Yes.

Alan O: Okay.

Lonnie Priest: But the National Meet Committee brought it to you guys, and you guys, you know, supposedly looked at it, I don't know. But we didn't sign it, we kept sending it on. I negotiated three times with them.

Alan O: Okay, Thank you for negotiating. Great job!

Lonnie Priest: So we were trying to keep the price and the liabilities and as close as we could get it. And I think we did a great job on that, but we thought it was a floor and not a ceiling. So, there we are.

Alan O: That's good counsel. We appreciate that.

Lonnie Priest: Any questions: <none recorded> I think I'm done. < applause>

Alan O: Okay, I want to move on to the item that was moved from near the top of the agenda to now. It was marked the \$100,000 committee because it was originally designed as a discussion for how do we proceed on the reserves excess \$100,000. In the Membership meeting last night we got a great deal of feedback. A lot of good counsel and concern expressed by the members. So in conversations this morning we decided we'd take a different spin on that. Rick do you want to take it from here?

Rick S: As Alan said, I think we got a lot of good feedback and I think we need to give this further thought, and in that regard I think I would like to make a motion that we do further discussion on how to utilize what was tentatively identified as \$100,000 of excess reserves. And I also, as part of this motion suggest that we work with either our outside accountant or another comparable firm in terms of evaluating, as Jerry mentioned last night, what our proper reserves should be for an organization like ours, and given the other factors that are not typical of some of the things we have all experienced and that being our declining membership. I think that changes the playing field quite a bit beyond what we're fighting through and as you saw the numbers last night. So my motion would be: We table discussion on the \$100,000 potential excess, and that we consult with an outside public accounting firm, or someone comparable, to give us advice.

John D: Is that a motion that <indistinguishable> ?

Alan O: Yes. It's a two part..

Rick S: It's a two part motion that we table discussion on \$100,000 potential excess reserve and it's disposition.

John D: Okay: But we don't have that motion.

Rick S: No, What we did was, all we had previously is; we said we identified \$100,000...

Alan O: Did you say table action?

Rick S: Table action on distribution or...

John D: We don't have a motion to table.

Rick S: No what I am doing is putting a motion on the table that we defer consideration of how do we utilize \$100,000 that we potentially identified as excess , and we also engage a CPA firm to evaluate if that \$100,000 reserve is actual reality given our circumstances in this club.

John D: My point is that; my point being, the first part of the motion is not required because we have no motion right now that says we intend to spend that money. The only thing we have right now is a motion that passed that said we have excess money. And the only part of your motion that needs to be made right now is probably the 2nd part regarding seeking counsel. That's my point.

Rick S: I was anticipating that if we were going to discuss initially at this meeting just how we were going to use that \$100,000, but to your point, there is nothing on the table about how to use the \$100,000 I will just say my motion is simply the 2nd part, that we engage or have discussion with the CPA firm or someone one comparable who is knowledgeable..

John D: Engage counsel?

Rick S: Engage Counsel on evaluating whether we do have an excess reserve given the circumstances of this Club in declining membership.

John D: I'll second that. (assigned motion # 2017-2018-49)

Alan O: So, seconded by John, is there discussion? <none recorded> There being no discussion. So the Motion on the floor by him (Rick) is essentially correct, to engage outside counsel to evaluate and recommend back to us, what is the situation we have. Looking at circumstances of the Club, the declining membership, that sort of thing.

Rick S: Right, yes.

Alan O: Alright, so we'll move to vote. Are there any who abstain? < none recorded> No Abstentions. Are there any who vote No? < none recorded> No votes? All in favor say Aye.

All Board Members: Aye

Alan O: Motion passes. Thank you Rick. Did Bruce leave? No, no, that's okay. Actually I was going to ask his permission to skip something. We had put on the New Business section the National Tour Director. In the interest of time I want to hold that for later discussion. So we're not going to talk about National Tour Director here. We're going to go to, I think at this point I'd like to go to discussion of the 2018-2019 Budget.

Bill S: Well,, before we go there, I'd like to make a motion that we employ an outside auditor to audit our books.

Alan O: I was going to do that next. I thought we might need the budget outline before we do that? Is that alright?

Bill S: Alright, makes sense.

Alan O: So Bill, the floor is yours.

Bill S: So, if you look at the budget sheets I've printed out. First off the portion in grey is eleven months actual, not one month's actual. Shrinking the tops so it would all fit on one page got rid of the extra "1" there. We have, starting from the left to the right there, we have the Income and Expense portion, the grey column is 11 months actual as of the last financial statement. We have the 2017 budget. Then I have three choices, three different scenarios for the budget: Okay, I have a budget with two Board of Directors meetings and a Roster.

Alan O: Just to be clear, that's two in person meetings?

Bill S: Two meetings in person.

Alan O: Currently we do this one.

Bill S: Currently we do one.

Alan O: In the old day we usually used to do another. We would do a site survey; I'm sorry, I just wanted to be clear for folks who may not have a history. For many, many, years, the board would make at least two in person meetings. One of them was at the National Meet, the other was to do a midyear trip to where the next national meet was going to be. Not only to meet, but to look at the place. You know; what do you think? And size it up. So that's what's being included in this budget.

Bill S: So , the second option which includes no roster and one board meeting is exactly what we have now. And then there's a third option. That's two board meetings, a roster, and before this last discussion, we spend \$25,000 of the \$100,000. We have legal fees and accounting fees with concern for the potential IRS audit that we have. So those are the three options that I drew up.

If you look at the income, the income is very close to what we had last year; It's about \$4,000 ahead of what we had last year. Expenses are very close in a similar time frame. The only difference is I've reduced the office cost by a little bit because they have not been spending as much as they have before. I have increased the number of board meeting travel. You figure we have 13 people that we pay, and at two board meetings that comes out to about \$17,000. So if we get down to the bottom line over all three, I gotta look at em. If we stay the same we could potentially make about what we're going to make this year, about \$9,000. Okay?

If we go with the first two board meetings and the \$13,000 for the Roster we'll take a loss of approximately \$21-22,000. If we go with the third option, which is spending money for the legal fees and for spending the \$25,000, we're gonna lose \$44,000. Now that \$25,000 was a number I chose out of the air from that \$100,000. It could change if we spend more

Alan O: I just want to be clear. It looks like the income you created in all the scenarios it comes out to \$368,500? One of the columns has \$358,500. Wouldn't they all be the same if there was no change?

Bill S: Yes, the income should be \$358,500.

Alan O: Well, Patron donations is different

Bill S: Yes, that would change the numbers.

< cross talk>

Bill S: Patron donations help the Bugle a lot. We have one member who pays \$10,000 to polly wrap the Bugle. If we lose that membership, if he decides he does not want to pay for it, it's gonna cost us \$10,000 more for the Bugles.

Alan O: So <indistinguishable> association, Rick?

Rick S: Question, for you. Alright, so the income you're showing is correct, I mean that income is correct not withstanding the \$10,000 you just mentioned. So the difference is; if we don't get the \$10,000 patronage donation and we do no roster and one board meeting, we would still be at a slight loss?

Bill S: Still be at a slight loss, yes.

Rick S: Okay then the only other question I have is; do you have any other permeations of this? We were all asking about the cost of the roster because I was thinking; what if we did two board meeting and no roster?

Bill S: Yeah, The roster is here, It's about \$13,000. It's right here, Okay?

Brian C: The last one was printed in 2015, if I'm correct?

Mike Book: No, 2017. The roster is dated 2017. It was printed in 2016.

Bill S: So it would be a 2019 Roster printed in 2020. It would be printed in this next fiscal year. It's due every few years. Now there are ways we can save money on the Roster. There's always a layout charge and a way for putting together the Roster. But if we just distributed on demand, we would save money that way. If we put it out on the internet we could save money that way. We could save that \$8,000 printing cost and mailing cost if we put it out on the internet. And by the internet I mean the site, the protected Buick site.

Also I identified; we're spending about \$15,-16,000 between the webmaster salary and the webmaster software fees. I've been looking at that and identified a cheaper way of doing the online membership and bottom line meet registrations. And I've been in conversations right now with Peter that could save us probably \$5-6,000.

JohnD: I don't see a line item here for copying and sending the minutes to the members?

Bill S: Alright, I will add that .

Alan O: Are there any other discussions?

Roberta: Are you going to ask if there's questions?

Alan O: Mark?

Mark Shaw: With regard to two meetings of the Board. It's historical that you meet twice, and the 2nd meeting is to evaluate the site for the next Meet.

Alan O: We haven't done that for several years.

Mark Shaw: Right. But that's the history and what you cited.

Alan O: Yes.

Mark Shaw: Since you now have a National Meet Committee who's responsible for reviewing that site, why would the board do that?

Alan O: I don't know that the board needs to do a site survey. I will tell you I think there's a lot to be said for a meeting in person face to face versus an e mail meeting. E mail is cold, there is no connotation, you can't smile if you say something, there's a lot I hate about communicating and trying to do business with the first board who did a lot by email. So I don't think a site survey is the reason we do it. It may have been at that time. I wouldn't suggest that today it is. I would love to get together with my colleagues on the board personally twice a year instead of just once.

Roberta: I was on the board when we started that meeting at the host's next site. And it; everything was already done. So we weren't really there to do a site survey. It was just a convenient place to look at, to meet. That's all we were really doing there. It was a convenient place for the chapter who thought it would be really cool if the Board come to a Chapter and spent some time with the people.

< Cross Talk>

Alan O: Okay we'll take a 5 minute break.

Okay, we're back. We still have some important business to do. Rick is ready to make a motion on our budget.

John D: Can I have the microphone.

Alan O: Yeah, sure. But first a comment by John.

John D: as far as the third option with the legal and accounting fees, I don't think that should be part of the annual budget. I think we should establish a defense account up to \$25,000, that is there in the event that we need it. And it should not be considered as part of any annual budget as an expense.

Brian C: Do you think \$25,000 is a sufficient amount for that?

John D: I think it could be altered as need be, but the \$25,000 initially should be enough for a defense fund. We don't know if the IRS is going to pick it up.

Alan O: Thank you John. Is there any other discussion?

Roberta? Will you cut it short?

Roberta: Yeah, I'll be quick. Some more quick thoughts about a 2nd board meeting. It doesn't necessarily have to be at the site of the next event. It could be at a NACC event, it could be somewhere near the most board members to cut expenses. The closest place to travel.

Alan O: Good point

Roberta: It could be in Bowling Green Kentucky.

< cross talk>

Rick S: Looking at the budget options we have here, what I'm going to propose, and make a motion that we have two board meetings but no roster. So I'm basically looking at the middle column of your work sheet except for the fact that we would not have the roster mailing and roster printing costs which would remove \$13,000 of expense which would lower the \$21,000 projected deficit down to \$8,000 deficit. And there still is the potential for that middle column does not include the extra \$10,000 for the patronage donation. We would either be operating at an \$8,000 loss or a \$2,000 profit. So my motion would be: that we do two board meetings but no roster.

Alan O: We have a motion from Rick that we adopt a budget that has two board meetings but no roster for this fiscal year. Is there a 2nd?

Roberta: I second it. (assigned Motion # 2017-2018-50)

Alan O: Roberta seconds. Open for discussion. < none recorded> There being no discussion I'll call for a vote on Rick's motion to adopt a budget that includes two board meetings and no roster for the next fiscal year. Are there any who abstain? < none recorded> are there any who vote No? < none recorded> All in favor say Aye.

All board members: Aye.

Alan O: Motion Passes. It has been suggested to me personally, and it came up in our meeting with the membership last night, that the Club, the Board of Directors, engage a firm, an outside firm to do a full audit. I don't know what that costs. I've had some smart people tell me; expect several thousand dollars. The number that was most recently suggested to me was 8. I don't know if that's high, or if that's low. I thought it was good counsel when we got that from our members last night. It would fit into the scenario we are currently looking at, which is to make sure we are doing things appropriately. So I want to put that on the table for discussion. Brian? I'm not calling for a motion yet but I will entertain a motion.

Brian C: I have a motion and then we can discuss it.

Alan O: That's probably the way to go.

Brian C: Okay. I think that's the way things are supposed to happen. Alright, I would like to; I move that: the board begin a process of obtaining an outside audit of our financials to be completed by an unrelated CPA firm that specializes in non profits, and if possible,401 c 7's (*Ed. note: we are a 501-C-7*) specifically.

Bill S: I'll second that motion.

John D: Please repeat that?

Brian C: I move to begin the process of obtaining an outside audit of our financial to be completed by an unrelated CPA Firm, specializing in non profits.

Brian C: An unrelated firm specializing in Non profits.

Rick S: I'll second that motion. There are no such things as 401 c7's. (assigned motion # 2017-2018-51)

Alan O: It's been seconded Brian.

Brian C: and by unrelated CPA firm; that's simply not Pusateri were currently using. Not the firm that has resulted from the merger of the former firm that we were using. We want somebody who hasn't looked at the books before. We want somebody totally outside. We're looking to just give our members peace of mind that this board is doing our fiduciary duty in double checking, triple checking, the financial information being presented to you.

Alan O: Okay, we have a motion on the floor that you recited < Brian> It was made by Brian and seconded by Rick: To obtain an outside opinion. Are there comments or questions from the Board?

Roberta: I guess I'd like to get a feel for what the process might be?. Where the records are? Either in Ohio or is the information in Texas? Would there be some thoughts on who solicits these bids, or looks for, or requests the < indistinguishable>?

Rick S: Well we can determine that once we decide if we're going to do it.

Alan O: That'd be my thoughts.

Roberta: Oh, Okay.

John D: can you repeat that guestion?

Alan O: Roberta's question was who decides who's gonna do it? My thought was once we decide if we are going to do it then we would decide how we will choose an auditor.

John D: Thank you.

Alan O: Are there any other comments or questions from the board? There being no further discussion ...

Larry Schramm: I have a comment.

Alan O: Yes larry?

Larry Schramm: Larry Schramm, the question wasn't asked; how are you going to define the audit? Is this going to be a cursory 1,000 foot level, or is this going to be a forensic audit to understand exactly how the money came and went?

You know, where it is and all paper trails, trying to imitate, if we get a call from the IRS, will this be an IRS type forensic audit?

Rick S: It is a great question and for the accountants in the room what we'd be looking for is a non qualified opinion. So an audit thats sufficient so that would result in a non qualified opinion that basically says there are no material defects in your financial records.

Alan O: Thank you. Any other questions?

Jerry Courson: You probably need to make it to be safe, make it fiscal year ending.

Alan O: It's not this fiscal year ending, it's next fiscal

Jerry Courson: No, it's this fiscal. **Alan O:** Fiscal ends on June 30th.

Unidentified: Is it going to be one year or multi year?

Rick S: No , one year.

Alan O: Not the complete budget year.

< cross talk>

Jerry Courson: I understand

Alan O: Okay, Any other questions on this? <none recorded> Alright, then I'll call for a vote, would you repeat the motion Brian?

Brian C: I move that: the board of Directors begin the process of obtaining an outside audit of our financial to be completed by an unrelated CPA Firm, specializing in non profits, and identified as a non qualified opinion as of June 30th,2018.

Alan O: Alright, do any abstain, I'll call for a vote. Do any abstain? < none recorded> No abstentions. Do any vote No? <none recorded> All in favor say Aye.

All board members: Aye.

Alan O: Motion passed. Okay, there is one item that was yet to come under new business but I would like to pass to our last item. I want to skip the sop committee in the interest of time but I would like to say that for us to have some open floor time we need to keep it succinct please but are there any people who would like. ..

Brian C: May I have the microphone?

Alan O: Brian has some new business.

Brian C: For purposes of discussion I would like to make a motion that we define the CFO position as a permanent contract position for the BCA, comprising a three year term. The job duties, responsibilities and pay to be determined. But I believe we need a CFO in place for a duration of time. Potentially compensated for their significant amount of time they do work for us. And that they be more consistent, we have a treasurer <indistinguishable>, and continuity, and just to assist that treasurer. Right now it's an optional position for the board of directors to decide if we want to have a CFO, whether it's with or without a treasurer. So I'd like to make a motion that: I move that we make the CFO a permanent compensated position, with a three year term. Job duties, responsibilities, and pay to be determined . <indistinguishable> five, next question.

Rich Gibbs: Rich Gibbs, I'd like to speak again. If I could make a comment. On calling for that position, on pay for that position, that's a contract, not an employee. That's where the IRS will really ding you.

< cross talk>

Rich Gibbs: As a contract, not an employee.

Brian C: We're going to have to go look at the rules for a 401 c7 and get advice from our accountant on that, possibly look at the requirements that the State of California has because that's where we are incorporated. There's a lot we need to look at before I can propose an actual salary for that position. But;

Rich Gibbs: Not Salary, comp...

Brian C: Compensation **Rich Gibbs:** Compensation!

Brian C: But it's important that we update our SOP's to make that a permanent position, with the <indistinguishable>

Alan O: Okay. Brian has moved, is there a second?

Rick S: I'll second that.

Alan O: Is there any discussion?

John D: I could be a fan of a permanent CFO if there was a split between and a requirement that another board member steps up and becomes the treasurer each year. We have had the CFO in the past because we haven't had anybody volunteer to be the treasurer. And I think there should be a split in duties. If the CFO should tell us "How we're

doing", that's one consideration. And if there is a member of the board who simply has to be involved with paying the checks and getting an account balance then it doesn't become so onerous. And I think there should be a treasurer for each year along with a CFO.

Alan O: And that would depend on if someone on the board volunteered ..

John D: and being elected.

Alan O: and being elected.

Brian C: Do you suggest that be part of this motion, or do we make a separate motion afterwards that we require our officer positions actually be filled.

John D: I don't think that we need to make a motion that we require a treasurer, that's in the By-Laws. I think that part of this motion should be that the CFO is responsible for determining how we are going, and I don't know the proper terminology here, so if somebody does. I think the CFO should just be responsible for the overlook of how we're doing, and should not be responsible for the financial action of paying bills and balancing the checkbook.

Rick S: The issue that I see is that the bulk of the work really is in balancing the books and paying all the bills. Reconciling the account now, Bill has been doing as CFO. So that would mean changing the role of the CFO to something it isn't today.

Bill Stoneberg: Plus, not only do you get the BCA business but you also get the National Meet Business. So that's included with it also.

John D: I still believe, for purposes of internal controls it would be best to separate the CFO from the actual physical treasurers job of paying bills and balancing the check book.

Brian C: I think my motion may include that since we have the wording "responsibilities to be determined". So we could negotiate that at a future point in time. One of the advantages of having a treasurer and a CFO is if, over a period of time we have a treasurer who shows an aptitude, that then allows us to groom someone to become the next CFO. So it's very important for them to work together as well.

Rick S: If I may, The only thing I think I can add to that is if we're going to have two roles, and I think that's a good idea, and one makes the other, each one training the other in the position, I don't think the CFO role in that environment would need to be a paid position, because the workload would be less being split between two people.

Alan O: Do you want to modify your motion Brian, or as stands?

Brian C: I'll leave it as stands. I'll leave the paid position in. To be determined. I'm not saying it's going to be a paid position. It is "pay to be determined". We've got to do our research with the 401 c7 and see if we even can pay that person, <indistinguishable>, so, yes that needs to be some work on , and I'll offer to assist with that. I'll be off the board shortly, so I'm willing to assist with defining that CFO position more in depth. And I make the motion for purposes of discussion. I <indistinguishable>that's not going to stop me from trying to identify the position that I intend it to be. I can make a more detailed presentation later with a little more forethought. I just wanted the discussion.

Alan O: Okay, we have a motion, made by Brian. Seconded by Rick to establish...

John D: Do you want me to read the motion?

Alan O: Okay

John D: The motion as I recorded it is; to define the CFO as a permanent contract position, for a three year period, with compensation, job duties to be determined.

Brian C: With compensation AND job duties to be determined. I'm not saying it's a compensated position. But the compensation and job duties are to be determined. That makes more sense.

Alan O: Moving to a vote. Are there any who abstain? <none recorded> . Are there any who vote No? ,none recorded> All in favor say Aye.

All board members: Aye.

Alan O: Motion passes. Is there anybody who wants the microphone?

Brian C: Just for clearance, that is a change to the SOP. That will require we post it in the Bugle, a comment period, then the next board will have to revisit that after the comment period. So nothings logged in till all of that happens. **Bruce Kile:** Sorry, I thought that was a new business thing. I reported to the board that this was the end of the term for the National Tour director, which everyone in this room knows how labor intensive that job is. But John Williams' terms is up and I would like to suggest the board consider the new National Tour Director be Rich Gibbs, who has many years experience on driving tours and so on. And if anybody has any questions about what does the National Tour Director do, you work as an advisor. He gets his name in the Bugle and <indistinguishable>. But there are chapters who have questions about setting up tours, Rich would be that person. And I think John Williams told me he had two calls in five years and I know I had about three calls in my prior tour, but Pete may ask for a small article about once a year about

setting up tours and Rich would definitely be the man who could do that , maybe encourage tourism, And so <indistinguishable> <laughter>

Rick S: I make a motion that we appoint Rich Gibbs to be National Tour Director.

Rich Gibbs: Advisor!

Roberta: I'll second. (assigned motion #2017-2018-53)

Rick S: I'm sorry, Advisor. < laughter> and this is me < indistinguishable>

Alan O: Motion by Rick, seconded by Roberta to appoint Rich Gibbs as the Nation Tour advisor. Term limits on this?

Rich Gibbs: 5 years.

Alan O: 5 years. Well we have some time. Is there discussion? < none recorded> I move for a vote, anyone want to abstain? <none recorded> Anyone vote No? <none recorded> All, Aye?

All board members: Aye

Alan O: Motion passes. Congratulations Rich Gibbs. < applause> Yes, Thank you!

Rich Gibbs: I'll give my information to Peter when I run into him.

Bill Stoneberg: He's back there.

<cross talk>

Alan O: Okay, anyone else for our open floor discussion? <none recorded> Okay, what I am going to do is I am going to <indistinguishable> and then after that we'll be installing our new board members. This is an item we have on our new business agenda because of our membership meeting last night. It was robust. It was very interesting and a lot of passionate comments and there was a sentiment from the board about proceeding to what I'm going to put on the table. The sentiment, I'm sorry, the sentiment from the membership, that we take action, as a board, to consider expulsion of Terry Weigand.

I won't go into all the details of that last night. So I will put out to the board an opportunity for anyone who wants to make a motion to begin proceedings. We would follow those as an outline, in the Standard Operating Procedures and the By-Laws in the Bugle. There is a specific procedure of the beginning of this process, then of notification of the individual, with a time line of within 30 days he has to be notified by certified mail. We will follow the standard operating procedures. And I'm open to a motion. Brian?

Brian C: Several members talked to me last night and requested this. And I had a request from several board members to present it as well. So I will present; Following a petition for resignation presented to the Board of Directors at the general membership meeting on 6/21/2018. Several impassioned speeches by members present, and a showing of hands by the vast majority of those in attendance indicating agreement with said petition, there being no provision for removal of a sitting Board member from the Board, I move: That the Board of Directors begin expulsion proceedings against Terry Weigand, member number 24247, for Terry's conduct that has disturbed the order, dignity, business or harmony of the BCA, or has impaired it's good name, goodwill, or prosperity.

John D: I'll second that. (assigned motion # 2017-2018-54)

Alan O: John has seconded. Is there discussion? <none recorded> There being no discussion I will call for a vote. Brian just read the motion, seconded by John. Are any who abstain? <none recorded>

Are there any who vote No?

Larry D: I am, No.

Alan O: Larry. Are there any other No votes? <none recorded>

Then we'll go through the board one by one for the rest of the votes. Roberta?

Roberta: Yes.

Alan O: Bob Safrit?

Bob S: Yes.
Alan O: Rick?
Rick S: Yes
Alan O: Brian?
Brian C: Yes
Alan O: John?
John D: Yes.

Alan O: Larry voted no. Terry?

Terry W: Yeah. Yes, I vote to stay in. I didn't know how to say it. I vote no.

Alan O: Okay. So there are no abstentions, there are two no votes, there are 1,2,3,4,5 yes votes. The Motion passes. The board will begin proceedings to expel Terry Weigand following the SOP's as outlined in the BCA guidelines.

Alan O: I think, Mike and Nancy, the next act is to seat the new board members. Is that correct?

Mike Book: That is correct.

Alan O: Okay, Will you conduct it?

Mike Book: Before seating the new Board members, we have three outgoing board members this year. And as is customary in the past, we would like to present plaques to those three individuals thanking them for their service on the board of directors, and everything they have done for the Buick Club in their terms of office. One of which will go to Rick Schick.

Rick S: Thank You < applause>

Mike Book: One of which goes to John De Fiore. John Thanks a lot.

John D: Thank you . < applause>

Mike Book: The other one goes to Brian Clark, Thank you.

Brian C: Thank You < applause>

Mike Book: We will ask the three board members who are leaving the board to leave their seats now.

< cross talk>

Mike Book: At this time I'd like to ask the three newly elected board members to come up and take their seats. Larry Schramm, John Steed, Jack Welch.

Okay, as we have done in the past we always start the election of board officers by starting at the top with the office of President. That way, anyone who runs for president that does not get elected will have the opportunity to run for another office, should they desire to do so.

So I will start with the proceeding, by asking; Terry Weigand, do you want to run for President?

Terry W: No.

Mike Book: Jack Welch would you like to run for president?

Jack Welch: No.

Mike Book: Roberta Vasilow would you want to run for president?

Roberta: No

Mike Book: John Steed would you want to run for president?

John Steed: < shakes head negatively>

Mike Book: Larry Schramm would you want to run for president?

Larry Schramm: Sure.

Mike Book: Larry Schramm just tossed his hat in the ring. Bob Safrit would you want to run for president?

Bob S: No.

Mike Book: Alan Oldfield would you run for president?

Alan O: With apologies to Joy, yes. < laughter>

Mike Book: Larry Di Barry would you run for president?

Larry D: No.

Mike Book: Ed Depouli is not here so therefore he would not have anything to do with this. I will pass out the ballots. We have one extra ballot because Ed Depouli is not here, that ballot is being destroyed. We ask that you mark your ballots. Nancy will pick them up. We have three individuals out of the audience who have volunteered to count the ballots.

< votes counted by the team of Jerry Courson, Rich Gibbs, and Chip Aeppli.>

Mike Book: All right, we have a vote of 5 votes for Alan, 3 votes for Larry, Alan Oldfield is BCA president for next year.

Thank you all < applause>

Mike Book: Okay, next would be Vice President. Again we'll go through the list. Terry, would you want to be Vice

President? **Terry W:** No

Mike Book: Jack Welch would you be Vice President?

Jack Welch: No

Mike Book: Roberta Vasilow would you be vice president?

Roberta: Sure.

Mike Book: Alright, Roberta's tossing her hat in the ring. John Steed, Vice President?

John Steed: Yes.

Mike Book: Okay, John is asking for it. Larry Schramm, would you care to be Vice President?

Larry Schramm: No.

Mike Book: Bob Safrit

Bob S: No.

Mike Book: Alan is already taken care of, Larry Di Barry

Larry D: No

Mike Book: Alright, so we have Roberta Vasilow and John Steed running for Vice President. < passing out ballots> And again one ballot that would be for Ed De Pouli is destroyed.

< ballots collected and given to counters>

Mike Book: Okay, we have a 4 to 4 tie. In the event of a tie we would have to vote again, or I could ask, would anybody be willing to change their vote without saying who they are voting for? Are there anybody who would change their vote if we hold a 2^{nd} vote?

< Board polled individually, and all decline to change their vote>

Alright under the circumstances that having two shots in and a tie vote, we have no tie breaker. Under assumption there is no vote, therefore there is no one coming up Vice President, the Vice President would continue to be the individual who had been vice president. That person is now off the board. That creates a little bit of a confusion factor. So what I recommend is that we hold the Vice President's position open for the time being until we can get 9 people voting on the board, before we vote for the Vice Presidents position, and at that time we elect the Vice President. Is that satisfactory with those of you on the board?

All Board members: Yes.

Mike Book: Okay, we will suspend the Vice President's position at this point. The next position we will go to is Secretary. Okay, for Secretary. Terry, would you be Secretary?

Terry W: No Mike Book: Jack?

Jack Welch: < imitates stabbing himself in the heart> Yes. <laughter>< applause>

Mike Book: Roberta would you be Secretary:

Roberta: < Nods head negatively)

Mike Book: John Steed?

John Steed: No

Mike Book: Larry Schramm?

Larry Schramm: No Mike Book: Bob Safrit?

Bob S: No

Mike Book: Larry Di Barry?

Larry D: No

Mike Book: By nomination and acclimation, Jack Welch is the Secretary for the BCA, and thank you Sir! < applause>

Mike Book: Okay, Bringing up last position would be treasurer. Terry Weigand, would you be treasurer?

Terry W: No.

Mike Book: Jack Welch is already Secretary so he cannot be treasurer. Roberta Vasilow, would you be Treasurer?

Roberta: No.

Mike Book: John Steed?

John Steed: No.

Mike Book: Larry Schramm?

Larry Schramm: Yes Mike Book: Bob Safrit?

Bob S: Yes

Mike Book: Alan is already elected, Larry Di Barry?

Larry D: No.

Mike Book: Okay, so we have two possibilities for treasurer, which would be Larry Schramm and Bob Safrit. < passing out ballots> And again, One ballot for Ed DePouli is not used.

< ballots collected and given to counting team>

Mike Book: Okay, we have a vote of 5 votes for Bob Safrit, and three votes for Larry Schramm, so Bob Safrit is the Treasurer for the BCA for the next year. < applause>

Mike Book: Thank you all for stepping forward and doing what you do for the Club, This is important positions. We are glad you are all on board, and we thank our vote counters, Chip, Jerry and Rich for taking care of business for the BCA. I will return the microphone back to our president.

Alan O: My thanks to everyone on the board. All of you in the audience. We have a lot to do. We got a lot of input from our membership last night. We took a lot of action today, and as the day went on it became clearer that there are a lot things we need to address. I'm looking forward to a great next year and working with the Board. I'm optimistic. We're all elected to serve. I think it's great to respectfully disagree and that allows us to listen to the other person and benefit from their insight and wisdom. I sincerely hope we move forward next year. Working together we can get a lot done. Thank You!

< applause>

Alan O: Move for Adjournment?

Larry Schramm: Larry Alan O: Second?

Larry D: The other Larry.

Alan O: The other Larry. All in favor say Aye.

All Board members: Aye

Alan O: We are adjourned, Thank you!.

Summary of Motions:

Date:	Motion	Vote	File #
6/22/2018	To approve June 1-June 21 BOD meeting minutes.	Passed	2017-2018-44
6/22/2018	To accept petition to re-organize the Pre War Division.	Passed	2017-2018-45
6/22/2018	To accept all Division and Committee reports.	Passed	2017-2018-46
6/22/2018	Renew Bugle printing contract -5 year term.	Passed	2017-2018-47
6/22/2018	Approve Charlotte, North Carolina for 2021.	Passed	2017-2018-48
6/22/2018	Engage counsel regarding 100K excess determination.	Passed	2017-2018-49
6/22/2018	To approve 2018-19 budget with 2 BOD meetings and no Roster.	Passed	2017-2018-50
6/22/2018	Begin process to have an outside auditor do an internal audit.	Passed	2017-2018-51
6/22/2018	SOP Change to define CFO as a permanent contract position.	Passed	2017-2018-52
6/22/2018	Approve Rich Gibbs as National Tour Advisor – 5 year term.	Passed	2017-2018-53
6/22/2018	Begin expulsion proceedings for Terry Weigand mbr# 24247	Passed	2017-2018-54

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-44		
Motion	tion To approve the June 1 st to June 21 st BOD Meeting Minutes				
By:	B. Clark	6/21/2018	2nd:	R. Schick	6/21/2018
Discussion notes: None recorded					

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/21/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				0,21,2010
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/21/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	X			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			-	-

John C. De Fiore: Recording Secretary

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-45			
Motion	To accept the petition of Jack Gerstkemper to reorganize the Pre War Division, under his leadership.					
By:	Brian Clark	6/22/2018	2nd:	Rick Schick	6/22/2018	
Discussion notes:						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				3, 22, 233
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry			ABS	Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow			ABS	
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand			ABS	
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			-	-

John C. De Fiore: Recording Secretary

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-46			
Motion	To accept all Division and standing committee reports					
By:	John DeFiore 6/22/2018 2nd: Brian Clark 6/22/2018					
Discussion notes:						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened
Alan Oldfield Pres.			No vote cast (NVC)	6/22/2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	•

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-47				
Motion	that we enter into a new submitted bid price of a	_			their		
By:	Brian Clark 6/22/2018 2nd: Roberta Vasilow 6/22/2018						
Discussion notes:							

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				9, 22, 2323
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Pub	lished: 7/29/2018			File #: 2	2017 2018-4	8		
Motion	that the Board of Directors accept the National Meet Committees recommendation for Charlotte as the host location with Brian De Pouli as the Meet chairman for 2021.							
By:	Brian Clark 6/22/2018 2nd: Larry Di Barry 6/22/2018							
Discussion notes:								

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				0,22,2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow			ABS	
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			<u> </u>	

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-49				
Motion	To engage Counsel on eventhe circumstances of this	_			reserve given		
By:	Rick Schick 6/22/2018 2nd: John De Fiore 6/22/2018						
Discussion	Discussion notes:						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened
Alan Oldfield Pres.			110 1010 0051 (1110)	6/22/2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	-

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-50					
Motion	that we do two board r	neetings but	no Roste	er.				
Ву:	Rick Schick	Rick Schick 6/22/2018 2nd: Roberta Vasilow 6/22/2018						
Discussion notes: This regards the proposed budget for 2018-2019								

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.			, ,	0/22/2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	-

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-51					
Motion	to begin the process of obtaining an outside audit of our financial to be completed by an unrelated CPA Firm, specializing in non profits.							
By:	Brian Clark 6/22/2018 2nd: Rick Schick 6/22/2018							
Discussion notes: Amonded to: the heard of Directors begin the process of obtaining an								

Discussion notes: Amended to: the board of Directors begin the process of obtaining an outside audit of our financial to be completed by an unrelated CPA Firm, specializing in non profits, and identified as a non qualified opinion, as of June 30th,2018.

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				0, 22, 2010
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-52			
Motion	to define the CFO as a permanent contract position, for a three year period, with compensation and job duties to be determined.				ear period ,	
By:	Brian Clark 6/22/2018 2nd: Rick Schick 6/22/2018					
Discussion notes:						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				9, ==, ===
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			•	

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-53			
Motion	to appoint Rich Gibbs as the National Tour Advisor.					
Ву:	Rick Schick 6/22/2018 2nd: Roberta Vasilow 6/22/201					
Discussion notes: This has a 5 year term limit.						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.				0/22/2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry	Х			Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand	Х			
John De Fiore	Х			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office			- 1	-

INTERNET MOTION RESULTS

Date Published: 7/29/2018			File #: 2017 2018-54			
Motion	That the Board of Directors begin expulsion proceedings against Terry Weigand, member number 24247, for Terry's conduct that has disturbed the order, dignity, business or harmony of the BCA, or has impaired it's good name, goodwill, or prosperity.					
By:	Brian Clark 6/22/2018 2nd: John De Fiore 6/22/2018					
Discussion notes:						

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 6/22/2018
Alan Oldfield Pres.			The voice cust (ivi e)	6/22/2018
Brian Clark VP	Х			Date voting Closed
Edward DePouli			ABSENT	6/22/2018
Larry DiBarry		Х		Date Approved by BOD
Robert Safrit	Х			7/29/2018
Roberta Vasilow	Х			
Rick Schick	Х			
Terry Wiegand		Х		
John De Fiore	X			
John Steed-C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only
Buick Club Office				



Buick Heritage Alliance

Board of Directors June 19, 2018

Divid M. Landow
Provider Via Email to: aoldfield@aol.com

William C. Hill Anderson, P.E. defiorejohn@gmail.com

No Pender buickcluboffice@aol.com

Richard F. Sills, Esquire Mr. Alan Oldfield, BCA #15140

Scorery Mr. Alan Oldfield, President

Affrey It. Bendures President
Mr. John De Fiore, BCA #3757

Gay B. Researt, Jr. Secretary

Buick Club of America

c/o Mike and Nancy Book - National Office Managers

Januar V. Diraguil, Ar. P.O. Box 360775

Columbus, OH 43236-0775

Angus S. Brandams
Office Manager
Re: President's Report to the Board of Directors of the Buick Club of

KIAAAL3331 America.

Turry H. Diehen - 2004-2011

Thomas S. Jakans

Mathew J. Lilwin

Hithard J. Schiel, Jr.

Post Providents Dear Messrs, Oldfield and De Fiore:

The Buick Heritage Alliance (BHA) is pleased to have this opportunity to submit the following report to the Buick Club of America's officers and directors regarding the BHA's latest and most significant initiatives and

operations.

McCalan Mar' G. Blair Ph. D.

Day Bowle 1 Officer

The Benefit Be

to the BHA's bylaws, the BHA elected officers to serve for a three-year term starting January 1, 2017 and ending December 31, 2019. The following officers are continuing to serve in the following capacities:

Histord D. Earl

John C. Greekenper President: David M. Landow

Vice-President and founder: William C. "Bill" Anderson, P.E.

Non E. Kovel Secretary: Richard P. Sills, Esquire

Denis M. Manner Treasurer and founder: Jeffrey R. Brashares (elected at 01-

09-17 meeting of the Board of

Directors)

www.BuickHertiageAlfunce.org | 644,3517299

MOVING FORWARD TO PRESERVE BUICK'S PAST

Directors, Staff and Board of Governors:

Directors:

As of the date hereof, and since its July 13, 2016 Board of Directors election, there have been no changes to the BHA's directors, which consist of the four officers named above, and Guy B. Bennett, Jr., Kevin M. Kirbitz, James V. Dingell, Jr. and John Fields.

Staff:

Angie Brashares - Office Manager

Board of Governors:

As of the date hereof, the following serve as members of the BHA's Board of Governors: McClellan G. "Mac" Blair, Ph.D., Dan Bower, Richard B. Boyer, Jr., Nicola Bulgari, Glenn D. Cecchine, W. Barton Close, Richard D. Earl, John C. Gerstkemper, Lawrence R. Gustin, Thomas S. Jakups, John E. Kozol, Matthew J. Litwin, Dennis M. Manner and Richard J. Schick, Jr.

Buick Heritage Alliance Award and BHA Gala:

The BHA wishes to express its continued gratitude and most sincere thanks for the opportunity to present the BHA's annual dinner gala as a part of the BCA's annual national meet. This is a wonderful venue for us to present the annual Buick Heritage Alliance Award, for the seventh time this year.

"From the Archive of the Buick Heritage Alliance:" Contribution of feature articles for the Buick Bugle:

Since late 2015, in coordination with Editor Pete Phillips and Art Director Cindy W. Livingston, the BHA has regularly contributed feature articles to the Buick Bugle, as follows:

Buick Bugle Issue	Article Topic
November, 2015	What is the Buick Heritage Alliance and why should Buick lovers care?
January, 2016	Harlow Curtice Takes Buick to War
November, 2016	History of the Buick Heritage Alliance
January, 2017	World War II Veterans - Buick's Proud Legacy
March, 2017	Emerson & Orme — How a small Apperson automobile agency became "The Home of the Buick" in our nation's capital
May, 2017	Celebrating the 46th "Great Buick Recall"
June, 2017	Supplied digitized covers of 14 pieces of unusual literature and photography for the centerfold of Pete Phillips' article: 1953 and 1954 Skylarks.
September, 2017	BCA Great Lakes Regional Meet — Columbus, Ohio — Arthritis Foundation Classic Auto Show & Cruise-In 35th annual event founded and perpetuated by iconic Buick collectors is largest ever
November, 2017	The Fastest Man on Earth — Glenn H. Curtiss — Father of Naval Aviation and a Founder of the American Aircraft Industry — Preferred a Buick Six
January, 2018	Promoting the new 1929 Buicks — The World Over
April, 2018	El Kineño! Rancho deluxe King Ranch Buick was designed from the frame rails up and built with spurs
June, 2018	Harold's Club or Bust! The Silver Dollar Buick

Tentative future feature article topics are as follows:

The significance of Buick's marketing strategies: Buick Magazine and The

Buick Bulletin

- Buick's Grand National and GNX programs
- 1917 Billy Durant approves an architecturally advanced administration building for Buick
- Sequel to "Harlow Curtice Takes Buick to War" (Buick Bugle January, 2016)
- Possible feature article about one of Joe Bortz's Buick concept cars.

It has been a sincere privilege for the BHA to contribute to the *Buick Bugle*. We look forward to having an opportunity to continue to contribute feature articles to such a high-quality, excellently produced monthly publication. We greatly enjoy working with Pete Phillips and Cindy Livingston.

BHA advertising in the Buick Bugle:

The BHA remains most pleased with the results of the advertising opportunities afforded to it via the *Buick Bugle*. It has been our privilege to maintain full-page ads on a bi-monthly basis, for the most part alternating with our feature articles.

BHA Website:

For calendar year 2017, the BHA's website had 227,608 hits, up from 207,620 in 2016. The BHA continues to ship orders for copies of factory literature to customers worldwide.

We are continuing work on a material change to the format of the BHA's website that will enable viewing of all our digitized documents for an annual fee. Downloading of electronic documents will not be permitted, but hard copies will continue to be available for a fixed price per page. The details of how this potential initiative will work, especially the security of our digital archive, are still being considered.

Digitization of Buick documents:

The BHA currently has digitized more than 5,000 individual pieces of literature in our archive, with more digitization regularly taking place (digitization is the scanning process by which our literature can be made available to all interested parties on a permanent basis). The BHA's archive, housed at the AACA Library

in Hershey, Pennsylvania, is believed to be the largest collection of Buick documents extant.

Relationship with Antique Automobile Club of America Library (AACA Library):

The BHA continues to maintain an excellent relationship with the AACA Library, where our archive of original literature, technical manuals and other Buick documents is stored. The BHA's archive is the largest collection of any single automobile marque housed at the AACA Library. Because our literature is housed at the AACA Library, it is available to researchers and interested parties of the public on an ongoing basis; it is maintained under the finest archival conditions, professionally curated.

Relationship with the AACA Museum:

The BHA continues to maintain an excellent relationship with the AACA Museum, where we have two display cases containing various Buick artifacts.

Other museum relationships:

The BHA is a member in good standing of the National Association of Automobile Museums. In addition to our relationship with the AACA Museum, we maintain active relationships with the following other automobile museums:

Sloan Museum - Buick Gallery and Research Center Gilmore Car Museum Peterson Automotive Museum

11. Donations of Buick literature, automobiles and automobilia:

Donations of original Buick literature continue to be strong.

In 2017, the BHA received a donation of a 1947 Buick automobile, which has undergone repairs and will be sold on eBay within a month or two. The proceeds will be used to support the BHA's programs. We greatly appreciate the generosity of those who have made the BHA the beneficiary of their gifts and bequests.

With its hard-working officers and directors, and the wonderful support that it receives from its board of governors, the Buick Club of America and the entire Buick

community at large, the BHA continues to look forward to its future with confidence and to continuing to serve Buick enthusiasts worldwide. We thank you for the opportunity to submit this report. On behalf of the officers, directors and board of governors of the BHA, we wish you a very successful BCA national meet!

All the best.

David M. Landow

Dal M. Latour

President

Buick Heritage Alliance

Addendum 2: Page 1 of 9

Petition to Reorganize the BCA Pre-War Division

Submitted to the BCA Board of Directors, on June 22, 2018 by Jack Gerstkemper, BCA #12331

With this petition I request that the BCA National Board of Directors dissolve the current organization of the BCA Pre-War Division (PWD) and authorize me, designate me as the interim National Director of the Pre_War Division for a period not to exceed two years, until such time as a new National PWD Director can be elected. It is my intention as the senior founding member of the PWD to reorganize the PWD.

The current PWD organization lists no Director in the Bugle or on the BCA website. The position of BCA Director has been vacant since September 2015 when Mark Shaw resigned from the BCA. The organization has no Assistant Director, no Secretary, no Treasurer and no Board of Directors. It has no bylaws, holds no meetings, has no minutes, publishes no newsletter, has no treasury, collects no dues, has no verified membership roster, and does not communicate with its membership. In May 2018 I submitted a current PWD membership roster week to BCA President Alan Oldfield. It includes numerous non-BCA members and deceased members. This is a violation of the BCA bylaws; however, I request that this listing be the official PWD membership roster of record for future reference.

The current PWD organization consists of a loosely organized group of a few BCA Forum participants. A forum is not an organization or "Division". It is my intention to reorganize the PWD with assistance from some (but not all) of the following active BCA members listed as PWD members on the aforementioned May 2018 PWD Membership Roster:

Kris Sydal Brian Clark Jerry Courson Mac Blair Gary Cummings Randy Dekker
Brian DePouli Barney Eaton Jim Jaeger Julie Meacham Ed Lappin Bill McLaughlin
Wallie Meisner Pete Phillips Bill Schwere Joe Suarez John Forster Norm Veness
John Williams Rob Swearingen

It is my intention to work with a small group of people to develop a new PWD organization. The new PWD organization will work in co-operation with the BOD to increase Prewar Buick membership in the BCA. I will consider working with other interested BCA members and any BCA member the BOD recommends. However, I will not work with the following four people: Terry Wiegand, Larry DeBerry, Larry Schramm or Mark Shaw.

ack Gerstkemper, BCA #12331

Jack Burthemper

Regional Director, BCA Pacific Northwest Region

Co-founder, BCA Pre-War Division

Brylaws: BDE; Brun Kill, Thick Mills Parthas - Milu Book ann Hilm John De Fron Bylans for Chapter Syrdal - Planning ADVISORY BOARD Part Print: 43 Registration, 408-373-9747 40 fan Meatha V. WISC - MECH IENGR. 1929 SCOTT MOBTON; 27-51 SEDAN IENTBOPY 27-24 SPORT GOADSTER Nat Geographic
EXPEDITION - SEA CLOUD MARCH WAIT HIST - JUNE ? - ? 13 BRIAN OLARR 24 BRIAN DEPOLL BEA \$927 704-905-4989 3 JOHN KOZOL 1922 4-35 5MALL TOURING - PA 607-761-1277 3 6 ROBERTA; V. BLA WEB - BDE DIVISION #1 -> JOHN WILLAMS 1940, 194) 5 MISE STEMEN - REGAMSVILLE HY 12242 845-923-7094 "41 516 510

PNO M FRANK BLIZZARD - NAVARRO FL, ED A LENNY - RIATTA 108 ALLENTOWN PA HORMAN VEHESS - SAVAGE MA 12 GIBBS, RICH - TOPEKA BANISAS 67 ELERCTRA NATIONAL FOUR ADVISOR TUES, I-40 MIDWEST CITY OK 13 HERB REST PASCH - HPASCH@FRONTIER. ALMA PASCH - ALMA MI WIFE: BDE HISTORIAN ROGER TESKIN -1918 TOURING TWISKA TYSKA 14 FICHARO KOWALIK ST. PAUL MINN + STEVIE 1939 SPECIAL 15 GREGALEWIS; SPOKANE WA. 51 SPECMA DELVXE "MADAME" a Notwork of Space gurrounded by time into which you corn put an infinite amount of trooning.

4 16	5. SID MUNGER YORBA LINDA GA
1	48 HH WOODY
9	,
9 17	T. DEAN TRYON N.C. WARE FOREST N.C.
	WARE FOREST N.C.
	1916 Mc C 6 UGGON
15	1. CHUCK RUSSELL ~ 1953 SPECIAL
	45R STATES WA
-	SUMNER
-	REGIONAL-
4	FISHFIR
, 0	
	KRISTI - VICE DIRECTOR - ACCR
	PUGET SOUND 13 PREWAR
A Pa	O PETE PAILLIPS? 1:40 FINISH ABONIVAL
	CHUCKS HIXON PARKING & TRAFFIC CRAIRMAN
	32 4-DIG SEDAN
	FT - JEX
2	1 LAGGY DOBBARK DIBARK
	164 BUICKS
2	
	WHOTE PROGRAM BOOK FOR 2018 NATIONAL
-1	
2	3 BILL STONEBEBG: 1960 - BUICK ELECTBA
-	
-	

A		
	5 24	AL EICHENBERGER ?
	625 1	BILL SCHOENWIGN?
	26 (SCOTT JON) KANAS - 37 CENTRISY COUPE LONGMONT, COLOBADO 25-YEARS-OLD 1929 FRANKLIH
	スフ	MIKE BOOK - 1970 LESABBE ZOB WO-TOP, COU
	25	JOHN STEED - 1937 66C 1941 36C 1990 RENTTA CONVERTIBLE
	SHX1 29	BAVEE KUE -
	30	BABNEY EATON - 1939 CENTURY SPORT COOPE 65 CORVAIR GEORGETOWN TX N. OF AUSTIN
	31	Bob De Young - 1939 Squad Cough
- 3		

Will Send Terry's emails
51R - DAHL' 62 Wildredt MN , '67; 72; 85, 94 RIVS
32 BRIS SYBOAL - & Dad's 41 Century ESTAGE will not deal with Terry - but, will deal water 3
doubts he will be figurelled Talk to Chapter talks
Call Ed Di Pauli
Partnamence Onder against Terry Now mouth will he post the full Does not have interest
Told Manh Shaw; Flerek Shull honorar Syrdal from CANUK-ISTAN Revin Bench FRINNEY
Burce HORGARD - '41 Similed 33 METAMORA 14.
MN
734 120 WM 120 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
BOBSES STARS-ICK 71,72,73,74,75 RIV G.S. 35 BOB STARZYK - BUICK MODIFIED DIVISION
By A former Stony
JAMES GATES ?) PALOS 1LL - 48 MOD. 36 MICK SCHICK = '30 SUPER' CONV.
HAPER VILLE 11-1-
37 JOHN DIFLORE NY. 19+ BEATH

Regestration 133 Vindos Buch 654 notworker + KATAY DON "OBJERMYER - 1908 VALPRAISO - INDIAND 19 31 MOREL A FORD RAMBLEA RANCH 1914 BVICK 2018 39 BOB CALIFORNIA 1971 - 47 YEARS LATER Hally Deront Story - Birthday Ist NATIONAL MEET - GMEDIO 4 BOD More it Bab antomorna - send them to expecto Oldert Don Ohenwar 1908 OWD 70/9 Board of Director have Pre-Mar Cors 2.0 7 - Past Presidento - 2017 ROSTER -7 Roberta - G.S. X - buldo Hom herrely -right 29 / day of 4 days robioly PND member 19 m. Obermy.

65 Wildred 40 41 Paul white 1936 +1X 19 ARAKOON ROAD NOONGARDAM NEW Soum WALES AU STRANGA buick 1936 special @ gmail.com, 42 David GoAnn Millard BCAH 15 Arbor Ct Bohamia N.Y. 90696 millard 48 @optonline net 36-46C Surva 41-49 Woods 43 PHILLIP SMITH 52/200 WOODCOLK DRIVE 47 SUPER GORDON ACT 2906 DMW 1 @ BICPOND COM 44 GEOFF & MARY BUICK 1036 RAPAURA RD, geoff.mary42gmail.com BLENHEIM 7273 N.Z. 39 90 LTD. P.O. BOX 11-240 108, 15, 18, 24, 25, 30, 35, 375 168, 53 SOCKBUAN CHRISTCHURCH 8443 Entil: mikhanning atkh. co. nz NEW ZEALAND.

John + do Mather Ab 715 Buckeys St Swax Falls South Datrota 57107 46 y@hotmal, com hadtop 1963 Wildcat 22r 1966 Wholat Ad harstop Wildcat Zdr hardtop 1968 1969 Rivera GS. 1983 Rivier 9 Consolble Consertible 1983 Rusera 19203 + 1930's on the wishlist Ph. 605-413-0299