

1/3/2019. President Alan composes letters to BCA members that contacted him about their choice for the BCA office Management position, informing them the Cornerstone Membership Services has been awarded the contract.

1/3/2019 President Alan notifies the Web master of our award as follows:

I want to update everyone on the Board's selection of a firm to supply office management services when Mike and Nancy Book retire at the end of January. The Board met via conference call January 2nd and voted between our two finalists: Cornerstone management of suburban Minneapolis, and Brian and Kim Clark.

The vote was 5-4 in favor of Cornerstone.

My thanks to all the members who wrote to the Board to share their input on the selection process. The transition has already begun in earnest. Look for more information in the next Bugle.

Alan Oldfield, President

1/4/2019 The BOD received a list of vouchers to be paid by the BCA Office

1/9/2019 Larry D moves to remove Jack Gerstkemper as interim director of the PWD.

1/9/2019 President Alan mentions that Nancy Book has received two quotes from interested audit firms.

1/9/2019 Terry seconds Larry D's motion to remove Jack Gerstkemper as interim director of the PWD.

1/10/2019 The BCA office send the BOD copies off additional vouchers

1/10/2019 Larry D restates his motion to read: **To remove Jack Gerstkemper as the Interim Director of the PWD, recognize the elected National Director of the Prewar Division and print a retraction/update to the January Bugle "PWD 2.0" article in the in the next Bugle stating the same.**

1/11/2019 John Steed calls Larry D's motion out of order as the "floor" was closed.

1/11/2019 Discussion follows regarding Jack Gerstkemper's role as Interim Director of the PWD and Brian's role as Director and whether or not his election is valid.

1/15/2019 The BOD receives the December expense and income report from the BCA office

1/16/2019 Bill Stoneberg present the November BCA balance sheet as prepared by our accounting firm.

1/16/2019 Jack Welch states the following: As you know, president Alan had appointed me as a committee of one to act as a mediator in resolving the PWD issue .

It has taken some time and research to provide this report. My findings (as I perceive them) are as follows. The BOD took vote at the Denver National Meet to remove Mark Shaw as Director of the Prewar Division. The reason for this are in the minutes of that meeting. According to the SOPs and bylaws of the BCA, the Divisions are self governing . This would in my opinion render the process used to remove Mark and Install Jack Gerstkemper to be incorrect. The reasons for their decision were correct, The PWD was in fact not operating within the guidelines of the PWD as a division according the by laws and SOPs of the BCA.

My opinion is that the BOD should have removed the PWD as a Division. Under the Bylaws , the PWD should have been given thirty days notice to refute the charges.

The Mission Jack Gerstkemper was given by the BOD was to reorganize the PWD and come up with an accurate data base and a set of bylaws. The thought was that an election would be held following that reorganization. Jack Gerstkemper has been working as requested to make that happen.

An election was held by some PWD members and Brian Heil was elected as the PWD Director. The election was a flawed process in that no accurate data base exists, and all members did not have a chance to participate. There are also no By laws in place to provide guidance for an election process.

All of this is causing a giant rift in. the PWD and the BCA and should be ended immediately.

In my assignment as a committee of one, I have been working with both Brian and Jack to resolve this issue. We have all agreed that the only process that will work is for the current BOD to over ride the action taken by the BOD, and to negate the election that just took place. Brian Heil and Jack Gerstkemper have both volunteered will work together to reorganize the PWD by coming up with a legitimate Data Base and a set of by laws for the PWD to be governed by. They will put out a joint statement for the Bugle announcing a PWD Meet & Greet at the Midwest City National Meet and they will encourage PWD members to attend the tours that have been organized by the Host Chapter.

The Motion proposed by Larry D should be declared null and void . I feel that we do not need any further motions but if one is to be put forth it could say that the Actions of the BOD at Denver be revised and that two PWD volunteers, Brian Heil and Jack Gerstkemper should be allowed to continue the process of reorganizing the PWD.

The best course of action for the BCA and the PWD is to ask Larry D to withdraw his motion. Failing that it should be declared out of order.

1/16/2019 Larry D stated:

Jack:

Will ALL BCA divisions now be subjected to this scrutiny?

My first and foremost objection is that a fraud had been perpetrated on the BOD and the membership at Denver. Support of this fraud only confirms those who were complicit in originating it.

In my motion I wanted to present a method to resolve this fraud in an easy manner with the least ill feelings and ability of all concerned to save face.

As you have indicated my motion was declared null and void .

The best course of action for the BCA and the PWD is to ask Larry D to withdraw his motion. Failing that it should be declared out of order.

I can not withdraw the motion that has already been declared null and void and out of order by you, John Steed and Alan Oldfield. So if a motion is to be made it should be made by someone else.

I see that the three of you prefer the hard method.
Will these exchanges be part of the BOD business minutes?

Best regards to all my fellow board Members.
Larry DiBarry

1/16/2019 Jack Welch stated;

Larry

I was not a BOD member. I have my own thoughts on the whys of it but they are thoughts only.

I had a few objectives in mind once I was assigned this task(I did not ask for it)

I am happy to discuss my thoughts with you off line over a brew .

My principal objective was to stop the battle and give everyone an out.

I had never met or spoken to Brian, so I had no idea going in what he was like or how I was going to accomplish my objective.

Once I discovered that he seems to be a very reasonable man that also wanted to stop this. I was able to get he and Jack talking

My job was to be mediator, not manager.

With good ideas from both Brian and Jack . I was able to achieve a spirit of cooperation.

This will be a long process to get through and the alliance between the both of them will be an uneasy one.

I expect that I will be attacked for what I have done, but I saw no other way to achieve peace.

The best way to continue a peaceful solution is not to use minutes as a tool to inflame any issues. My intent is to minimize the discussion.

We will have enough arguments over the audit, I am sure. But I am hopeful for a quick decision there

If everyone goes for payback on everything that comes before us, it will be a long six months.

Best regards

Jack

:

1/16/2019 President Alan : Larry, Jack, and all:

I don't believe anyone prefers the "hard method." This has been plenty hard.

I think Jack has done Solomon's job by working with Brian and Jack and come to a solution where the BCA can have a functioning pre-war division, welcoming to all pre-war enthusiasts. I applaud Brian and Jack for working together. What they are doing is clearly in the best interest of the club, and the pre-war members.

Why don't we take "yes" for an answer? Allow Brian, Jack, and Jack continue to work collaboratively toward this resolution, and embrace it?

Larry D; It is all up to you now to allow access for a motion.

President Alan I am opening the floor for consideration of motions for the pre-war discussion, and approval of recent minutes.

Because I am traveling the next two days with limited access to email, I have asked and appointed Jack Welch to serve as moderator.

And an update on audit RFQs: Nancy Book and Robert Safrit have been working on getting two additional bids for audits, which will each have the option of an opinion audit and forensic audit. I am reviewing those and will forward to the board first of the week.

Jack Welch : I move to have the BOD declare Larry D's motion null and void and to overturn the BOD decision that appointed Jack Gerstkemper as Interim Director. As a part of that motion, the PWD will have no sitting director. We will not put the PWD on notice that they are in default as a Division because two members are working as equal volunteers to achieve a current data base , to create a set of bylaws and to move forward to a future election process.

1/18/2019 The BCA office reports on the transition progress over to Cornerstone

1/18/2019 Larry D : Since my motion is ahead of this my motion it should be stated and discussed before it is declared null and void and the reasons thereof.

1/18/2019 Jack Welch: Larry: I had asked you to withdraw your motion the other day , so we could proceed with the motion to be presented as a result of having both parties agree to mutually proceed to reorganize the PWD.

You had stated that you felt it had to be done by someone else. That is why my motion was worded the way it was. If that is not what you are looking for. I would ask again if you would withdraw it.

1/18/2019 Terry: Larry, DON'T YOU DO THAT! This person is trying to get you to circumvent the proper parliamentary procedure. A blind person can see what these people are trying to do here. HOLD YOUR GROUND!

1/18/2019 Larry D : Jack: I knew that you asked. I did not say I would and I do so decline.

Also there is nothing in the BCA SOPs or By-Laws that give authority for the BOD to remove a division director.

My motion as I presented it and that it was seconded by Terry Weigand.

At this time I would like to make a motion...

To remove Jack Gerstkemper as the Interim Director of the PWD, recognize the elected National Director of the Prewar Division and print a retraction/update to the January Bugle "PWD 2.0" article in the in the next Bugle stating the same.

1/18/2019 Jack Welch; Larry: We can get in to the semantics of who is right and who is wrong. I ask you as a BOD member, what benefit does that provide , when we have two parties willing to unite and make this go away? We are dealing with this as BCA Board members. We should all be willing to make this go away,, and here is a way out for everyone and the PWD operating as a healthy unified Division. That is where I am coming from.

1/18/2019 Larry D: Jack and all:

I as a member of this board do take my position seriously. I am more than willing to make this go away. In my motion I provided the only solution one could accept and make this fade away. Unfortunately one of the parties is (as I have indicated) a fraud perpetrated on the membership to discredit all of the existing PWD members who had any part of the pursuing the RIGHT of a division to park as they wish. Those of you who have structured this collaboration still do not take into

consideration that the removal of the PWD director was not provided for in our SOP or By-Laws. And as such was an illegal move by the board. Three of us at Denver did not vote for this. So a reversal of that vote should suffice to allow this to go forward.

I am also citing the fact that upon my research, several of the other Divisions have no by laws or elections so they should be notified that they will also have to comply as your motion states.

Thinking about the good of all concerned.

1/18/2019 Jack Welch Larry: The BOD did have the right under the SOPs to declare the PWD in default as they did not have an accurate data base. The SOPs say that the members of a Division must be BCA members. In talking to members involved in the vote during my efforts to resolve this , they do not want to penalize the Division , only to bring it in to compliance. My motion includes overriding that vote. You are certainly not speaking for Brian Heil here because he is in total agreement with me and has been working with me to resolve it. For you to stonewall those efforts, would seem that you have an agenda of your own. You had a chance to vote in Denver and chose not to do so.

I was hoping resolve this this afternoon. It appears that will not happen. President Alan appointed me as moderator in his absence. If we are not going to reach an accord this afternoon. I will abide by the rules and shut down the floor at 5:00 PM Central time for the weekend.

1/18/2019 John Steed seconds Jack motion

1/18/2019 Roberta finds a grammar error in the motion

1/18/2019 Jack : Revised motion

I move to have the BOD declare Larry D's motion null and void and to overturn the BOD decision that appointed Jack Gerstkemper as Interim Director. As a part of that motion, the PWD will not have sitting director. We will not put the PWD on notice that they are in default, as a Division because two members are working as equal volunteers to achieve a current data base , to create a set of bylaws and to move forward to a future election process.

I feel that Larry D's motion was made and seconded when the Floor was closed for motions and is null and void.

1/18/2019 John Steed seconds Jack's motion

1/18/2019 Larry D If it was declared Null and void why were you asking me to retract my motion before this?

And then why are you asking this to be done now?

1/18/2019 Jack : just trying to move beyond this and let the PWD reorganize themselves . I have the co operation of Brian and Jack G. I am not sure why it is so hard to get the cooperation of the BOD.

My thought was that if you withdraw your motion, it would avoid what we are going through now . My two reasons for declaring it null and void are because the floor was closed and because we had already had Brian and Jack G working together so why beat ourselves up over this?

1/18/2019 Larry D

I beg to disagree. The negotiated co-operation you speak of was only instigated after I put forth my original motion.

We will revisit this on Monday.

.

1/20/2019 President Alan:

My thanks to Jack Welch for his work on trying to reach a consensus on the pre-war issue last Friday. Here is where things stand:

-Larry's motion was declared out of order for the reasons I articulated. It remains so. We will move one.

-Brian H and Jack G are working together, which is the best outcome. I applaud them for doing so. Let's move on to another important issue.

Attached are two additional audit proposals developed through work done by Nancy Book with assistance by Bob Safrit. My thanks to both of them.

Please review them. Note, in the Borowitz proposal, the reference to "agreed upon procedures" refers to a forensic audit. Nancy, please correct me if I am wrong. Nancy is copied on this email so you can direct questions about these two proposals to her.

Larry or Roberta - I cannot quickly put my hands on the two proposals you developed. Would you please forward them to the entire board again so we all have them for easy access to compare.

Let's see if we can have a fruitful discussion of the four proposals via email. If necessary, we can do a board conference call later, but I thought we'd try this first.

The floor is open for discussion of the audit proposals.

1/22/2019 Bill Stonberg I like the HW Co audit proposal. Not open ended, it looks at all the points you need them to look at and not as expensive as some of the others.

I have never been against an audit, what I am against is the frivolous spending of the clubs money which I think under other proposals will happen.

1/22/2019 Larry S: Resending as requested.

I also have several more quoted coming in and are due January 31st. We should schedule a conference call the first week of February to pick the firm.

Remember that the ease of access of all records will be a major determining factor to the final cost of the audit. Hopefully the transition is going well to Cornerstone.

1/22/2019 Jack Welch: In the interest of resolving the six months old PWD issue I move the following:

I move to overturn the BOD decision that appointed Jack Gerstkemper as Interim Director. As a part of that motion, the PWD will have no sitting director. We will not put the PWD on notice that they are in default as a Division because two members (Brian Heil & Jack Gerstkemper) are working as equal volunteers to achieve a current data base , to create a set of bylaws and to move forward to a future election process.

With the permission of the BOD, I am also going to forward this to Brian Heil and Jack Gerstkemper

1/22/2019 Larry D : I may not quite understand the exact procedure, but since President Oldfield has dismissed my motion, (out of order, null and void) then this one is now a legal motion that has been seconded by John Steed. That indicates there is a discussion period. If this is then to be approved I submit that **ALL BCA divisions** be notified that they will also be subject to the same requirements. I do understand that at least 2 other BCA divisions have no By-Laws or election procedures.

1/22/2019 President Alan: I've been talking with Mark at Cornerstone regularly this month, including as recently as this afternoon. He told me today that the transition is going smoothly from his point of view - in fact "no concerns at this point" is a direct quote.

That said, I am not naive enough to believe there won't be something unexpected pop up. But, so far, it is on track.

We discussed the access to records that will be needed for an audit, and once again, I reinforced to all the players on the BCA side to make sure we are anticipating the audit and working for easy access to all the records we have so they are available for the audit.

1/22/2019 Jack : This motion is slightly modified from my first one, and there for , I believe a new second is required.

1/22//2019 John: My understanding of amended (changed) motion is that it should be seconded. Therefore I will second Jack's amended motion.

I agree with Larry that we should expect all divisions to follow the same rules.

Since Alan asked Jack to mediate this issue Jack does not need permission to send this information to Jack G. and Brian H. in my opinion.

Hopefully we can work together to make the BCA better.

1/22/2019 Larry D; Thank you John:

If you all do not recall the BCA By-Laws I refer to here they are from the BCA website.

Not the highlighted sections that I have referenced. Larry D pasted a copy of the By law in question regarding revocation charter of Divisions

!/22/2019 President Alan: There being no further discussion, I call for a vote on Jack's motion, seconded by John:

to all BOD members. In the interest of resolving the six months old PWD issue I move the following:

I move to overturn the BOD decision that appointed Jack Gerstkemper as Interim Director. As a part of that motion, the PWD will have no sitting director. We will not put the PWD on notice that they are in default as a Division because two members (Brian Heil & Jack Gerstkemper) are working as equal volunteers to achieve a current data base , to create a set of bylaws and to move forward to a future election process.

1/22/2019 Vote is as follows ;

John Yes

Terry no

Larry D no

Roberta no

Larry S no

Ed no

Jack Yes

Bob Y

Motion is defeated 5 to 3

1/24/2019 Larry S : I move,

This board move to rescind the Motion that appointed Gertkemper Buick Pre-War Division Interim Director at the Denver Meet due to the fact that said Motion was and is in violation of BCA Bylaws. Said Motion should have been ruled out of order at Denver for this same fact but it was not. This New Motion corrects this issue.

1/24/2019 Terry seconds motion

1/25/2019 Jack : I find it interesting all BOD members knew that I was working with both parties and were totally silent, instead choosing to work in secret to sabotage the arrangement created by Brian and Jack. No one in the PWD contacted me in any way.

I made a report to the BOD , no comment, made a motion to makes things right , no discussion, then to take me to task for doing all this speaks for itself as to the type of people you are.

I was warned and should have expected it. Going g forward I know what to expect

I Vote NO because this motion as made will just keep the battle going. The opposing sides will no longer have any reason for working together knowing there is no support for doing so from a BOD totally consumed with their own agenda .

Larry , I voted against your motion because it does not help to heal the issue , if you amend it to delete the phrase

Said Motion should have been ruled out of order at Denver for this same fact but it was not. This New Motion corrects this issue.

I would change my vote and I believe others may feel comfortable with that also. I feel that those two sentences are accusatory and not helpful. Just a suggestion to move it along.

1/25/2019 President Alan; I will wait to call for a vote until we hear from Larry, yea or nay.

1/25/2019 Larry D: I will be away from home till after 10:00 PM. If Larry Schramm's motion is standing as he presented it then I vote to approve.

Yes

1/25/2019 Ed: I agree that removing' "Said.....(through).....issue" would still yield the same end result and would be the right thing to do.

1/27/2019 Larry S: I withdraw my previous motion and replace it with this one.

This board moves to rescind the Motion that appointed Gertkemper Buick Pre-War Division Interim Director at the Denver Meet due to the fact that said Motion was and is in violation of BCA Bylaws. This New Motion corrects this issue.

1/27/2019 Jack : Larry:

Technically, the floor is closed to motions during the weekends. To avoid having it ruled out of order and go through all that , maybe you would want to add : When the floor opens.

Larry D's was ruled out of order as the floor was not open.

Just trying to keep procedure correct.

1/28/2019 President Alan: Good Monday morning

Floor is open to amend motions....

1/1/28/2019

I withdraw my previous motion and replace it with this one.

This board moves to rescind the Motion that appointed Gertkemper Buick Pre-War Division Interim Director at the Denver Meet due to the fact that said Motion was and is in violation of BCA Bylaws. This New Motion corrects this issue.

1/28/2019 Larry D seconds the motion

1/28/2019 Ed: Alan, If this could be put to a vote tonight, I'll cast my vote.

Otherwise my knee operation is 5:30 AM tomorrow and I will be out of touch until the end of the week.

1/28/2019 John : The BOD voted to replace a Director that had failed to keep his membership current in the BCA. Our Bylaws require Division members to be members of the BCA. So the BOD decided to remove the Director of the PWD Division for failure to maintain his membership in the BCA. I do not see where the BOD's action was *invalid*. *There have been several wrongs in this process and I would agree with Larry that the BOD cannot remove a Director without cause. However not being a member of the BCA is cause.*

1/28/2019 Larry S: Mark Shaw was a member at the time of the Denver meet. In fact I believe that he had reinstated his BCA membership at least a year before the Denver meet.

1/28/2019 : John: The PWD Director accepted that position when the PWD was created. He never gave that position up when he dropped the BCA. He was never elected to that position after he quit the BCA. Therefore it seems the PWD did not follow the Division Bylaws. The BOD does have the right to enforce the BCA Bylaws.

1/28/2019 Roberta : Let's drag this out for the next 6 months and maybe the new BOD members can fix it. Never should have happened, time to stop the games, everyone was wrong make it right or go home. I'm tired of the BS cause that's all it is.

1/28/2019 Larry D: Again the membership validity was at the time not proven. Only the still unsubstantiated statements by Jack Gerstkemper. The PWD 2.0 update sent to the BOD on 10/18/18 quotes numbers that were the same given during the Denver Ambush. No new evidence has been submitted. The Book's never submitted any documents to us the BOD to refute or support. Our membership roster was never confirmed by information requested by the office. Because the office NEVER responded!

I will concede that every Chapter and every Division has some members on their rosters who are not current BCA members if a membership **audit** was to be conducted. Those of us on the BOD in 2016 had to work through that same issue in all the many errors found in the 2017 published roster.

Oh..... I did remove Jack Frank aged 91. Owner of the wonderful Coronary Green 1937 Special Phaeton from our Mason-Dixon chapter roster in 2016 **when he passed away**. Just if you want to start checking all Chapters and All Divisions.

More importantly if any concerns were raised the BOD according to our by-laws needed to contact the Division Director to answer the charges. At the time a board member requested a time for investigation and was ignored.

So here we are.

Shall we vote?

1/28/2019 Larry S: Let's move on. I call the question for a vote.

1/28/2019 Larry D: I see it has been an hour since Larry S called the question for a vote. Ed has to get some sleep before his procedure!
I vote **Yes**

1/28/2019 Jack: It appears we have a new President, first , we have a motion before mine is ruled on, and now we have a BOD member deciding on when to vote .

BOD members vote on the motion

1/29/2019 President Alan:

Motion passes

YES:

DiBarry

Schramm

DePouli

Vasilow

Wiegand

NO

Steed

Welch

Safrit

THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS is submission of minutes for approval by our secretary. Jack, you have the floor.

1/29/2019 Jack: I had sent the minutes for your perusal about two weeks ago for Sep and Oct. I have attached the Nov minutes .I move to have the minutes for September, October and November approved .

1/29 2019 John seconds the motion

1/29/2019 Larry D; The November minutes look good to me.

I realize that we on the Board gave Jack some leeway in editing down the minutes for expediency. Although some of the entries are quite cryptic it is hard to understand what was exactly being referenced.

1/29/2019 Jack : Larry D

The minutes from Denver already include Jack Gerstkemper 's statement. I feel no need to include info that is not on my "watch" and that already been documented.

It is my belief that I captured your opinion about working with Jack G in my statement . I feel that minutes are to be a description of actions taken by the BOD and need not always detail in depth full conversations and comments

1/29/2019 Larry D: I did not ask you to include my entire email response. I would just like that your interpretation reflect what I intended. Possibly

to be simply worded as below. *"in my view this (Jack Gerskemper's report) not to be even considered on its validity"*.

1/20/2019 Jack :fair enough Larry

1/30/2019 President Alan: Moved by Jack, seconded by John
Motion to approve minutes as submitted by our secretary

1/30/ 2019 John Votes yes

1/30/2019 Larry D I am ready to vote approval only if we see a revised set of the October minutes reflecting the corrections I asked for.

1/30/2019 Jack : I had already agreed to that

1/30/2019 Terry; you had already agreed to what? I am missing something here. Please explain in detail for us all.

1/30/2019 Jack I had agreed to Larry D's request for a slight revision to my wording of one of his statements in the Oct. draft minutes regarding Jack G' PWD report

1/30/2019 Larry D: All I asked for was a revised set of just October's minutes for us ALL to see what was changed. So let us see. Then we can continue voting.

1/30/2019 Larry D: Let us see.

:

1/30/2019 Roberta : Two fixes for the Nov Minutes. Typo RQG should be RFQ and the Chapter folded was Mid Florida.

1/30/2019 Jack: I will look in to That Roberta. I am on the road now.
As to the posting of revised minutes. Once minutes are approved, they will be posted on the web site.
I had advised Larry D that I would make the change he requested. I am not posting revised minutes during the voting process.

1/30/2019 Jack : Roberta, I found the errors you mentioned. They will be corrected in the Minutes prior to posting.

1/30/2019 Larry S: Jack, Could you please send out the corrected minutes so we can review the corrections before we vote on them?

2/1/2019 Just so we are all clear on what voting to approve minutes constitutes. The Minutes are meant to act as a record of actions taken by as BOD. They are not meant to record entire conversations. True minutes are not sentences, they are an encapsulation of relevant discussions and are meant to provide a record of motions and action taken. Prior minutes have frankly been way too wordy. That leads to irrelevant conversations and issues that hinder the reporting the work of the BOD. I have made a concentrated effort in reducing that verbiage and will continue to reduce it even further. The discussion going on at the moment is an example of what should not be going on. The intent of minutes is to record actions, such as the choosing of a new BCA office, how the members voted for that and the result. Period.

To vote against those minutes may in fact be to vote against that action being a valid BOD action.

As I have stated , the proper thing to be doing at them moment is to vote for the Minutes and incorporate the changes into the final ruling . For example .The President would rule that the minutes of Sept, Oct. and Nov. 2018 are accepted with the inclusion of the three corrections. October minutes to include : Larry D stated; "in my view this (Jack Gerskemper's report) not to be even considered on its validity". November Minutes to include a typo correction from and a correction of the failed Florida Chapter to Mid Florida.

If a BOD Member is not comfortable with this , I would recommend that they simply abstain from voting and let the Board get on with business.

Larry D: Thank you Jack for your opinion.

Since I have been on the board whenever there had been a correction done to the minutes there was usually a verification and then we would all vote to **approve**. Usually with the note that there were corrections to the minutes.

Our experience with our previous secretary was that the minutes of any meeting (email or conference call) was usually submitted within several days. Once they were approved they were then posted on the website and made available for the membership.

I have had emails and calls of chapter Directors from B.O.O.M, Metro, and South Jersey Shore as well as repeated questions from our Mason-Dixon members. There questions are... **Where are the minutes? Why have there not been anything recently posted?** They have asked me to put forth a motion to have **current Approved minutes** communicated to them as soon as possible. I have had to put them off saying that the BOD had no minutes submitted to approve.

Next week we should just be receiving the January minutes, not October's then September's and lastly November's, not to mention December's.

2/1/2019 Jack : And so , the solution is to continue to delay the process?

2/1/2019 Jack : In the interest of getting these minutes approved and moving on to other business, I would ask President Alan to close this vote when the Floor closes for the weekend. I would suggest that there has been sufficient time for all BOD members to have made a decision.

2/1/2019 Larry D : Yes Jack, it appears that it is your solution.
Please show us the corrected minutes so we can approve.

2/1/2019 Jack : I have already sent my corrections

2/1/2019 Larry S: Jack, It is simple. You have a credibility problem with some of us. On the last vote that we had rescinding the Denver action you said that if I changed the motion you would vote for the motion. I made the changes you asked for and you still voted no.
This is a problem. We would spend less time on this if you would just change the minutes as requested and resend them out.

2/1/2019 : Jack : Larry S You have the right to vote yes , no or abstain. You do NOT have the right to level a personal attack on me. This is a new low in the bully tactics employed by certain BOD members to get their way.

2/2/2019 President Alan: The floor closed Friday night. With that, the vote is done. We had a full 24 hours for discussion, and then a full 48 hours to vote.

The vote closes, with votes recorded as follows:

YES:

Welch

Steed

Safrit

NO VOTE CAST:

DiBarry

Schramm

Wiegand

Vasilow

DePouli

The secretary has followed appropriate procedures, and explained when he wrote this:

J WELCH (cartoys@comcast.net) To:you + 7

more Details

Fri, Feb 1, 2019 9:11 am

Just so we are all clear on what voting to approve minutes constitutes. The Minutes are meant to act as a record of actions taken by as BOD. They are not meant to record entire conversations. True minutes are not sentences, they are an encapsulation of relevant discussions and are meant to provide a record of motions and action taken. Prior minutes have frankly been way too wordy. That leads to irrelevant conversations and issues that hinder the reporting the work of the BOD. I have made a concentrated effort in reducing that verbiage and will continue to reduce it even further. The discussion going on at the moment is an example of what should not be going on. The intent of minutes is to record actions, such as the choosing of a new BCA office, how the members voted for that and the result. Period.

To vote against those minutes may in fact be to vote against that action being a valid BOD action.

As I have stated , the proper thing to be doing at them moment is to vote for the Minutes and incorporate the changes into the final ruling . For example. The President would rule that the minutes of Sept, Oct. and Nov. 2018 are accepted with the inclusion of the three corrections. October

minutes to include : Larry D stated; "in my view this (Jack Gerskemper's report) not to be even considered on its validity". November Minutes to include a typo correction from and a correction of the failed Florida Chapter to Mid Florida.

Jack also addressed the errors that Roberta pointed out.

Therefore minutes are approved

If the board can't even get it together to approve minutes, then it seems we've ceased to function. I am saddened by this.

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

MOTION RESULTS

Date Published : 1/23/2019		File #: 2018-2019-10	
Motion	I move to overturn the BOD decision that appointed Jack Gerstkemper as Interim Director. As a part of that motion, the PWD will have no sitting director. We will not put the PWD on notice that they are in default as a Division because two members (Brian Heil & Jack Gerstkemper) are working as equal volunteers to achieve a current data base , to create a set of bylaws and to move forward to a future election process.		
By:	Jack Welch	2nd:	John Steed
Discussion notes:			

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 1/23/2019
Alan Oldfield Pres.				Date voting Closed 1/24/2019
Edward De Pouli		X		
Larry DiBarry		X		
Robert Safrit	X			
Roberta Vasilow		X		
Terry Wiegand		X		
Larry Schramm		X		
John Steed	X			
Jack Welch	X			
? -C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only

Jack Welch: Recording Secretary
--

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

MOTION RESULTS

Date Published : 1/13/2019		File #: 2018-2019-10A	
Motion	<p>At this time I would like to make a motion...</p> <p>To remove Jack Gerstkemper as the Interim Director of the PWD, recognize the elected National Director of the Prewar Division and print a retraction/update to the January Bugle "PWD 2.0" article in the in the next Bugle stating the same.</p>		
By:	Larry DiBarry	2nd:	Terry
Discussion notes: declared out of order by Alan Oldfield as it was moved after the "floor" was closed			

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened
Alan Oldfield Pres.				Date voting Closed
Edward De Pouli				
Larry DiBarry				
Robert Safrit				
Roberta Vasilow				
Terry Wiegand				
Larry Schramm				
John Steed				
Jack Welch				
? -C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only

Jack Welch: Recording Secretary

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

MOTION RESULTS

Date Published : 1/28/2019		File #: 2018-2019-10B	
Motion	the Motion that appointed Gertkemper Buick Pre-War Division Interim Director at the Denver Meet due to the fact that said Motion was and is in violation of BCA Bylaws. This New Motion corrects this issue.		
By:	Larry S	2nd:	Larry D
Discussion notes:			

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened 1/28/2019
Alan Oldfield Pres.				Date voting Closed 1/29/2019
Edward De Pouli	X			
Larry DiBarry	X			
Robert Safrit		X		
Roberta Vasilow	X			
Terry Wiegand	X			
Larry Schramm	X			
John Steed		X		
Jack Welch		X		
? -C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only

Jack Welch: Recording Secretary
--

BUICK CLUB OF AMERICA

MOTION RESULTS

Date Published : 1/29/2019		File #: 2018-2019-11	
Motion Approve Oct Sept, Oct & Nov Minutes			
By:	Jack Welch	2nd:	John Steed
Discussion notes:			

VOTING	YES	NO	Abstain (ABS) / No Vote Cast (NVC)	Date Voting Opened
Alan Oldfield Pres.				Date voting Closed 2/1/2019
Edward De Pouli			X	
Larry DiBarry			X	
Robert Safrit	X			
Roberta Vasilow			X	
Terry Wiegand			X	
Larry Schramm			X	
John Steed	X			
Jack Welch	X			
? -C.Judge				Judging Issues Only
Bill Stoneberg-CFO				Financial Issues Only

Jack Welch: Recording Secretary
